

**Joshua Tracy**

5 Hudson St. , Bath, NY 14810

December 11, 2006 3:38 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Tracy  
5 Hudson St.  
Bath, NY 14810

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joshua Tracy**

5 Hudson St. , Bath, NY 14810

December 11, 2006 3:38 PM

Representative John Kuhl  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1505 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kuhl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Tracy  
5 Hudson St.  
Bath, NY 14810

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joshua Tracy**

5 Hudson St. , Bath, NY 14810

December 11, 2006 3:38 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Tracy  
5 Hudson St.  
Bath, NY 14810

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joshua Tracy**

5 Hudson St. , Bath, NY 14810

December 11, 2006 3:38 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joshua Tracy  
5 Hudson St.  
Bath, NY 14810

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Cressey**

p o box 1075 , st charles, MO 63302

December 11, 2006 3:37 PM

Senator Christopher Bond  
U.S. Senate  
274 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Cressey  
p o box 1075  
st charles, MO 63302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Cressey**

p o box 1075 , st charles, MO 63302

December 11, 2006 3:37 PM

Representative Todd Akin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
117 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Akin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Cressey  
p o box 1075  
st charles, MO 63302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Cressey**

p o box 1075 , st charles, MO 63302

December 11, 2006 3:37 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Cressey  
p o box 1075  
st charles, MO 63302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Cressey**

p o box 1075 , st charles, MO 63302

December 11, 2006 3:37 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Cressey  
p o box 1075  
st charles, MO 63302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Cressey**

p o box 1075 , st charles, MO 63302

December 11, 2006 3:37 PM

Representative Todd Akin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
117 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Akin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Cressey  
p o box 1075  
st charles, MO 63302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Ginsburg**

Theatre and Dance Dept. 1 Education Drive, Garden City, NY 11530

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Ginsburg  
Theatre and Dance Dept.  
1 Education Drive  
Garden City, NY 11530

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Clyde W. Burnham II**

610 Telfair Ave. , McRae, GA 31055-2121

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Clyde W. Burnham II  
610 Telfair Ave.  
McRae, GA 31055-2121

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Clyde W. Burnham II**

610 Telfair Ave. , McRae, GA 31055-2121

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Clyde W. Burnham II  
610 Telfair Ave.  
McRae, GA 31055-2121

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Garret Whitney**

445 Lowell Rd , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Garret Whitney  
445 Lowell Rd  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Ginsburg**

Theatre and Dance Dept. 1 Education Drive, Garden City, NY 11530

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Ginsburg  
Theatre and Dance Dept.  
1 Education Drive  
Garden City, NY 11530

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Garret Whitney**

445 Lowell Rd , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Representative Marty Meehan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2229 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Garret Whitney  
445 Lowell Rd  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kyle Harvey**

14318 guava St. , Hudson, FL 34667

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Harvey  
14318 guava St.  
Hudson, FL 34667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Garret Whitney**

445 Lowell Rd , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Garret Whitney  
445 Lowell Rd  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kyle Harvey**

14318 guava St. , Hudson, FL 34667

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Harvey  
14318 guava St.  
Hudson, FL 34667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Clyde W. Burnham II**

610 Telfair Ave. , McRae, GA 31055-2121

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Clyde W. Burnham II  
610 Telfair Ave.  
McRae, GA 31055-2121

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Garret Whitney**

445 Lowell Rd , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Garret Whitney  
445 Lowell Rd  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Garret Whitney**

445 Lowell Rd , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Representative Marty Meehan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2229 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Garret Whitney  
445 Lowell Rd  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Ginsburg**

Theatre and Dance Dept. 1 Education Drive, Garden City, NY 11530

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Ginsburg  
Theatre and Dance Dept.  
1 Education Drive  
Garden City, NY 11530

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kyle Harvey**

14318 guava St. , Hudson, FL 34667

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Representative Michael Bilirakis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Harvey  
14318 guava St.  
Hudson, FL 34667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kyle Harvey**

14318 guava St. , Hudson, FL 34667

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Harvey  
14318 guava St.  
Hudson, FL 34667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kyle Harvey**

14318 guava St. , Hudson, FL 34667

December 11, 2006 3:35 PM

Representative Michael Bilirakis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kyle Harvey  
14318 guava St.  
Hudson, FL 34667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carolyn Keeny**

6200 Wilson Blvd #315 , Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

December 11, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator George Allen  
U.S. Senate  
204 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Keeny  
6200 Wilson Blvd #315  
Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carolyn Keeny**

6200 Wilson Blvd #315 , Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

December 11, 2006 3:33 PM

Representative Jim Moran  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2239 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Keeny  
6200 Wilson Blvd #315  
Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carolyn Keeny**

6200 Wilson Blvd #315 , Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

December 11, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator John Warner  
U.S. Senate  
225 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Keeny  
6200 Wilson Blvd #315  
Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carolyn Keeny**

6200 Wilson Blvd #315 , Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

December 11, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator George Allen  
U.S. Senate  
204 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Keeny  
6200 Wilson Blvd #315  
Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carolyn Keeny**

6200 Wilson Blvd #315 , Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

December 11, 2006 3:33 PM

Representative Jim Moran  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2239 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Keeny  
6200 Wilson Blvd #315  
Falls Church, VA 22044-3204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roger Lutrell**

4740 Hwy.54 , Star City, AR 71667

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Blanche Lincoln  
U.S. Senate  
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roger Lutrell  
4740 Hwy.54  
Star City, AR 71667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roger Lutrell**

4740 Hwy.54 , Star City, AR 71667

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Mark Pryor  
U.S. Senate  
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roger Lutrell  
4740 Hwy.54  
Star City, AR 71667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roger Lutrell**

4740 Hwy.54 , Star City, AR 71667

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Representative Mike Ross  
U.S. House of Representatives  
314 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ross,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roger Lutrell  
4740 Hwy.54  
Star City, AR 71667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Frank Bureau**

403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C , Orange, CA 92866

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Frank Bureau  
403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C  
Orange, CA 92866

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Frank Bureau**

403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C , Orange, CA 92866

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Representative Ed Royce  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2202 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Royce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Frank Bureau  
403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C  
Orange, CA 92866

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Frank Bureau**

403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C , Orange, CA 92866

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Frank Bureau  
403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C  
Orange, CA 92866

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Frank Bureau**

403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C , Orange, CA 92866

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Representative Ed Royce  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2202 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Royce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Frank Bureau  
403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C  
Orange, CA 92866

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roger Lutrell**

4740 Hwy.54 , Star City, AR 71667

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Blanche Lincoln  
U.S. Senate  
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roger Lutrell  
4740 Hwy.54  
Star City, AR 71667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Roger Lutrell**

4740 Hwy.54 , Star City, AR 71667

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Representative Mike Ross  
U.S. House of Representatives  
314 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ross,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roger Lutrell  
4740 Hwy.54  
Star City, AR 71667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Frank Bureau**

403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C , Orange, CA 92866

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Frank Bureau  
403 W. Rosewood Ave Apt. C  
Orange, CA 92866

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roger Lutrell**

4740 Hwy.54 , Star City, AR 71667

December 11, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Mark Pryor  
U.S. Senate  
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roger Lutrell  
4740 Hwy.54  
Star City, AR 71667

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Camara**

83 barberry lane , ofallon, MO 63366

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Todd Akin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
117 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Akin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Camara  
83 barberry lane  
ofallon, MO 63366

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Camara**

83 barberry lane , ofallon, MO 63366

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Camara  
83 barberry lane  
ofallon, MO 63366

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **West Patrick**

P.O. Box 1268 , Roland, OK 74954

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator James Inhofe  
U.S. Senate  
453 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
West Patrick  
P.O. Box 1268  
Roland, OK 74954

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Camara**

83 barberry lane , ofallon, MO 63366

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Christopher Bond  
U.S. Senate  
274 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Camara  
83 barberry lane  
ofallon, MO 63366

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**West Patrick**

P.O. Box 1268 , Roland, OK 74954

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Dan Boren  
U.S. House of Representatives  
216 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
West Patrick  
P.O. Box 1268  
Roland, OK 74954

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**West Patrick**

P.O. Box 1268 , Roland, OK 74954

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Tom Coburn  
U.S. Senate  
172 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
West Patrick  
P.O. Box 1268  
Roland, OK 74954

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**West Patrick**

P.O. Box 1268 , Roland, OK 74954

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator James Inhofe  
U.S. Senate  
453 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
West Patrick  
P.O. Box 1268  
Roland, OK 74954

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sara Schoen**

10478 Waterfowl Terrace , Columbia, MD 21044

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski  
U.S. Senate  
503 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sara Schoen  
10478 Waterfowl Terrace  
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sara Schoen**

10478 Waterfowl Terrace , Columbia, MD 21044

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes  
U.S. Senate  
309 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sara Schoen  
10478 Waterfowl Terrace  
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sara Schoen**

10478 Waterfowl Terrace , Columbia, MD 21044

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Elijah Cummings  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2235 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cummings,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sara Schoen  
10478 Waterfowl Terrace  
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sara Schoen**

10478 Waterfowl Terrace , Columbia, MD 21044

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski  
U.S. Senate  
503 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sara Schoen  
10478 Waterfowl Terrace  
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sara Schoen**

10478 Waterfowl Terrace , Columbia, MD 21044

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes  
U.S. Senate  
309 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sara Schoen  
10478 Waterfowl Terrace  
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sara Schoen**

10478 Waterfowl Terrace , Columbia, MD 21044

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Elijah Cummings  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2235 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cummings,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sara Schoen  
10478 Waterfowl Terrace  
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Camara**

83 barberry lane , ofallon, MO 63366

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Camara  
83 barberry lane  
ofallon, MO 63366

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Camara**

83 barberry lane , ofallon, MO 63366

December 11, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Todd Akin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
117 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Akin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Camara  
83 barberry lane  
ofallon, MO 63366

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**daniel davis**

10 st johns place corby, northants, england, AS mn17 1uf United Kingdom

December 11, 2006 3:30 PM

Delegate Eni Faleomavaega  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2422 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Faleomavaega,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
daniel davis  
10 st johns place  
corby  
northants, england, AS nn17 1uf

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**daniel davis**

10 st johns place corby, northants, england, AS mn17 1uf United Kingdom

December 11, 2006 3:30 PM

Delegate Eni Faleomavaega  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2422 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Faleomavaega,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
daniel davis  
10 st johns place  
corby  
northants, england, AS nn17 1uf

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**ERna Luering**

50 Henry St. , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Rob Simmons  
U.S. House of Representatives  
215 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
ERna Lueling  
50 Henry St.  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**ERna Luering**

50 Henry St. , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman  
U.S. Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
ERna Lueling  
50 Henry St.  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**ERna Luering**

50 Henry St. , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Chris Dodd  
U.S. Senate  
448 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
ERna Lueling  
50 Henry St.  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**ERna Luering**

50 Henry St. , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman  
U.S. Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
ERna Lueling  
50 Henry St.  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Axel Meier**

1671 Lombard St #11 , San Francisco, CA 94123

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Axel Meier  
1671 Lombard St #11  
San Francisco, CA 94123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Axel Meier**

1671 Lombard St #11 , San Francisco, CA 94123

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2371 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Axel Meier  
1671 Lombard St #11  
San Francisco, CA 94123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Axel Meier**

1671 Lombard St #11 , San Francisco, CA 94123

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Axel Meier  
1671 Lombard St #11  
San Francisco, CA 94123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Vadim Tulchinsky**

72 Stedman St. #1 , Brookline, MA 02446

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Barney Frank  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2252 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Frank,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Vadim Tulchinsky  
72 Stedman St. #1  
Brookline, MA 02446

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Vadim Tulchinsky**

72 Stedman St. #1 , Brookline, MA 02446

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Vadim Tulchinsky  
72 Stedman St. #1  
Brookline, MA 02446

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Vadim Tulchinsky**

72 Stedman St. #1 , Brookline, MA 02446

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Vadim Tulchinsky  
72 Stedman St. #1  
Brookline, MA 02446

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Axel Meier**

1671 Lombard St #11 , San Francisco, CA 94123

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Axel Meier  
1671 Lombard St #11  
San Francisco, CA 94123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Axel Meier**

1671 Lombard St #11 , San Francisco, CA 94123

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2371 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Axel Meier  
1671 Lombard St #11  
San Francisco, CA 94123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Vadim Tulchinsky**

72 Stedman St. #1 , Brookline, MA 02446

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Vadim Tulchinsky  
72 Stedman St. #1  
Brookline, MA 02446

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Vadim Tulchinsky**

72 Stedman St. #1 , Brookline, MA 02446

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Barney Frank  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2252 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Frank,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Vadim Tulchinsky  
72 Stedman St. #1  
Brookline, MA 02446

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Art Alfaro**

1081 W Arrow Hwy. #38 , Azusa, CA 91702-5101

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Art Alfaro  
1081 W Arrow Hwy. #38  
Azusa, CA 91702-5101

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jared Rossman**

Box 786 , Redway, CA 95560

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jared Rossman  
Box 786  
Redway, CA 95560

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jared Rossman**

Box 786 , Redway, CA 95560

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Mike Thompson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
231 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jared Rossman  
Box 786  
Redway, CA 95560

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Art Alfaro**

1081 W Arrow Hwy. #38 , Azusa, CA 91702-5101

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Art Alfaro  
1081 W Arrow Hwy. #38  
Azusa, CA 91702-5101

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jared Rossman**

Box 786 , Redway, CA 95560

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jared Rossman  
Box 786  
Redway, CA 95560

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jared Rossman**

Box 786 , Redway, CA 95560

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Mike Thompson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
231 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jared Rossman  
Box 786  
Redway, CA 95560

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jared Rossman**

Box 786 , Redway, CA 95560

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jared Rossman  
Box 786  
Redway, CA 95560

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Art Alfaro**

1081 W Arrow Hwy. #38 , Azusa, CA 91702-5101

December 11, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Art Alfaro  
1081 W Arrow Hwy. #38  
Azusa, CA 91702-5101

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james palmer**

939 gascony ct. , kissimmee, FL 34759

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james palmer  
939 gascony ct.  
kissimmee, FL 34759

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james palmer**

939 gascony ct. , kissimmee, FL 34759

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Representative Adam Putnam  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1213 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Putnam,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james palmer  
939 gascony ct.  
kissimmee, FL 34759

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james palmer**

939 gascony ct. , kissimmee, FL 34759

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james palmer  
939 gascony ct.  
kissimmee, FL 34759

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Peter Sucato**

531 Locust Point Road , Rumson, NJ 07760

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Representative Frank Pallone  
U.S. House of Representatives  
420 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pallone,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Peter Sucato  
531 Locust Point Road  
Rumson, NJ 07760

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Peter Sucato**

531 Locust Point Road , Rumson, NJ 07760

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Peter Sucato  
531 Locust Point Road  
Rumson, NJ 07760

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Peter Sucato**

531 Locust Point Road , Rumson, NJ 07760

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Peter Sucato  
531 Locust Point Road  
Rumson, NJ 07760

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james palmer**

939 gascony ct. , kissimmee, FL 34759

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james palmer  
939 gascony ct.  
kissimmee, FL 34759

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james palmer**

939 gascony ct. , kissimmee, FL 34759

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Representative Adam Putnam  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1213 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Putnam,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james palmer  
939 gascony ct.  
kissimmee, FL 34759

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Peter Sucato**

531 Locust Point Road , Rumson, NJ 07760

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Peter Sucato  
531 Locust Point Road  
Rumson, NJ 07760

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Peter Sucato**

531 Locust Point Road , Rumson, NJ 07760

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Peter Sucato  
531 Locust Point Road  
Rumson, NJ 07760

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Peter Sucato**

531 Locust Point Road , Rumson, NJ 07760

December 11, 2006 3:27 PM

Representative Frank Pallone  
U.S. House of Representatives  
420 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pallone,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Peter Sucato  
531 Locust Point Road  
Rumson, NJ 07760

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Gillis**

33 First St #1 , Bangor, ME 04401

December 11, 2006 3:26 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe  
U.S. Senate  
154 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gillis  
33 First St #1  
Bangor, ME 04401

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Gillis**

33 First St #1 , Bangor, ME 04401

December 11, 2006 3:26 PM

Senator Susan Collins  
U.S. Senate  
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gillis  
33 First St #1  
Bangor, ME 04401

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Gillis**

33 First St #1 , Bangor, ME 04401

December 11, 2006 3:26 PM

Representative Mike Michaud  
U.S. House of Representatives  
437 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Michaud,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gillis  
33 First St #1  
Bangor, ME 04401

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Gillis**

33 First St #1 , Bangor, ME 04401

December 11, 2006 3:26 PM

Senator Susan Collins  
U.S. Senate  
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gillis  
33 First St #1  
Bangor, ME 04401

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Gillis**

33 First St #1 , Bangor, ME 04401

December 11, 2006 3:26 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe  
U.S. Senate  
154 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gillis  
33 First St #1  
Bangor, ME 04401

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Gillis**

33 First St #1 , Bangor, ME 04401

December 11, 2006 3:26 PM

Representative Mike Michaud  
U.S. House of Representatives  
437 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Michaud,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Gillis  
33 First St #1  
Bangor, ME 04401

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lavanah Judah**

8854 Alcott Street Apt. #2, Los Angeles, CA 90035

December 11, 2006 3:23 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lavanah Judah  
8854 Alcott Street  
Apt. #2  
Los Angeles, CA 90035

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lavanah Judah**

8854 Alcott Street Apt. #2, Los Angeles, CA 90035

December 11, 2006 3:23 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lavanah Judah  
8854 Alcott Street  
Apt. #2  
Los Angeles, CA 90035

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lavanah Judah**

8854 Alcott Street Apt. #2, Los Angeles, CA 90035

December 11, 2006 3:23 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lavanah Judah  
8854 Alcott Street  
Apt. #2  
Los Angeles, CA 90035

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lavanah Judah**

8854 Alcott Street Apt. #2, Los Angeles, CA 90035

December 11, 2006 3:23 PM

Representative Henry Waxman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2204 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waxman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lavanah Judah  
8854 Alcott Street  
Apt. #2  
Los Angeles, CA 90035

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Collins**

697 Mulberry Lane , Moab, UT 84532

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Representative Jim Matheson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1222 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matheson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Collins  
697 Mulberry Lane  
Moab, UT 84532

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**damon adams**

7 walden glen , ballston lake, NY 12019

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Representative John Sweeney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
416 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
damon adams  
7 walden glen  
ballston lake, NY 12019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**damon adams**

7 walden glen , ballston lake, NY 12019

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
damon adams  
7 walden glen  
ballston lake, NY 12019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Collins**

697 Mulberry Lane , Moab, UT 84532

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch  
U.S. Senate  
104 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Collins  
697 Mulberry Lane  
Moab, UT 84532

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**damon adams**

7 walden glen , ballston lake, NY 12019

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
damon adams  
7 walden glen  
ballston lake, NY 12019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Collins**

697 Mulberry Lane , Moab, UT 84532

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Robert Bennett  
U.S. Senate  
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Collins  
697 Mulberry Lane  
Moab, UT 84532

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**damon adams**

7 walden glen , ballston lake, NY 12019

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
damon adams  
7 walden glen  
ballston lake, NY 12019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Collins**

697 Mulberry Lane , Moab, UT 84532

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Robert Bennett  
U.S. Senate  
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Collins  
697 Mulberry Lane  
Moab, UT 84532

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Collins**

697 Mulberry Lane , Moab, UT 84532

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch  
U.S. Senate  
104 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Collins  
697 Mulberry Lane  
Moab, UT 84532

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Collins**

697 Mulberry Lane , Moab, UT 84532

December 11, 2006 3:22 PM

Representative Jim Matheson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1222 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matheson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Collins  
697 Mulberry Lane  
Moab, UT 84532

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**charles compton**

123 fuckthegovernment ave , anywhere, NC 28056

December 11, 2006 3:21 PM

Senator Richard Burr  
U.S. Senate  
217 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
charles compton  
123 fuckthegovernment ave  
anywhere, NC 28056

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**charles compton**

123 fuckthegovernment ave , anywhere, NC 28056

December 11, 2006 3:21 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole  
U.S. Senate  
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
charles compton  
123 fuckthegovernment ave  
anywhere, NC 28056

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**charles compton**

123 fuckthegovernment ave , anywhere, NC 28056

December 11, 2006 3:21 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole  
U.S. Senate  
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
charles compton  
123 fuckthegovernment ave  
anywhere, NC 28056

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**charles compton**

123 fuckthegovernment ave , anywhere, NC 28056

December 11, 2006 3:21 PM

Senator Richard Burr  
U.S. Senate  
217 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
charles compton  
123 fuckthegovernment ave  
anywhere, NC 28056

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Cheri Anderson**

884 Gosselin Circle , Batavia, IL 60510

December 11, 2006 3:19 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Cheri Anderson  
884 Gosselin Circle  
Batavia, IL 60510

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Cheri Anderson**

884 Gosselin Circle , Batavia, IL 60510

December 11, 2006 3:19 PM

Representative Dennis Hastert  
U.S. House of Representatives  
235 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hastert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Cheri Anderson  
884 Gosselin Circle  
Batavia, IL 60510

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Cheri Anderson**

884 Gosselin Circle , Batavia, IL 60510

December 11, 2006 3:19 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Cheri Anderson  
884 Gosselin Circle  
Batavia, IL 60510

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lettice Parker**

11527 Hwy 99 A103, Everett, WA 98204-7863

December 11, 2006 3:17 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lettice Parker  
11527 Hwy 99  
A103  
Everett, WA 98204-7863

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lettice Parker**

11527 Hwy 99 A103, Everett, WA 98204-7863

December 11, 2006 3:17 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lettice Parker  
11527 Hwy 99  
A103  
Everett, WA 98204-7863

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lettice Parker**

11527 Hwy 99 A103, Everett, WA 98204-7863

December 11, 2006 3:17 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lettice Parker  
11527 Hwy 99  
A103  
Everett, WA 98204-7863

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lettice Parker**

11527 Hwy 99 A103, Everett, WA 98204-7863

December 11, 2006 3:17 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lettice Parker  
11527 Hwy 99  
A103  
Everett, WA 98204-7863

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**paul almarin**

1340 1st street , manhattan beach, CA 90266

December 11, 2006 3:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
paul almarin  
1340 1st street  
manhattan beach, CA 90266

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**paul almarin**

1340 1st street , manhattan beach, CA 90266

December 11, 2006 3:14 PM

Representative Jane Harman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2400 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
paul almarin  
1340 1st street  
manhattan beach, CA 90266

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**paul almarin**

1340 1st street , manhattan beach, CA 90266

December 11, 2006 3:14 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
paul almarin  
1340 1st street  
manhattan beach, CA 90266

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**paul almarin**

1340 1st street , manhattan beach, CA 90266

December 11, 2006 3:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
paul almarin  
1340 1st street  
manhattan beach, CA 90266

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**paul almarin**

1340 1st street , manhattan beach, CA 90266

December 11, 2006 3:14 PM

Representative Jane Harman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2400 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
paul almarin  
1340 1st street  
manhattan beach, CA 90266

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Bouch**

316 Hamil Road , Verona, PA 15147

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Representative Mike Doyle  
U.S. House of Representatives  
401 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doyle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Bouch  
316 Hamil Road  
Verona, PA 15147

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Bouch**

316 Hamil Road , Verona, PA 15147

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Bouch  
316 Hamil Road  
Verona, PA 15147

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Bouch**

316 Hamil Road , Verona, PA 15147

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Senator Rick Santorum  
U.S. Senate  
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Bouch  
316 Hamil Road  
Verona, PA 15147

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Galina**

216 brighton ave apt1 , rochester, PA 15074

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Galina  
216 brighton ave apt1  
rochester, PA 15074

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Galina**

216 brighton ave apt1 , rochester, PA 15074

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Representative Melissa Hart  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1024 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Galina  
216 brighton ave apt1  
rochester, PA 15074

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Galina**

216 brighton ave apt1 , rochester, PA 15074

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Senator Rick Santorum  
U.S. Senate  
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Galina  
216 brighton ave apt1  
rochester, PA 15074

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Bouch**

316 Hamil Road , Verona, PA 15147

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Bouch  
316 Hamil Road  
Verona, PA 15147

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Galina**

216 brighton ave apt1 , rochester, PA 15074

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Galina  
216 brighton ave apt1  
rochester, PA 15074

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Galina**

216 brighton ave apt1 , rochester, PA 15074

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Representative Melissa Hart  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1024 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Galina  
216 brighton ave apt1  
rochester, PA 15074

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Bouch**

316 Hamil Road , Verona, PA 15147

December 11, 2006 3:11 PM

Representative Mike Doyle  
U.S. House of Representatives  
401 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doyle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Bouch  
316 Hamil Road  
Verona, PA 15147

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Gunther**

507 Moffitt Blvd , Islip, NY 11751-3020

December 11, 2006 3:10 PM

Representative Steve Israel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
432 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Israel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Gunther  
507 Moffitt Blvd  
Islip, NY 11751-3020

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Gunther**

507 Moffitt Blvd , Islip, NY 11751-3020

December 11, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Gunther  
507 Moffitt Blvd  
Islip, NY 11751-3020

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Gunther**

507 Moffitt Blvd , Islip, NY 11751-3020

December 11, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Gunther  
507 Moffitt Blvd  
Islip, NY 11751-3020

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Gunther**

507 Moffitt Blvd , Islip, NY 11751-3020

December 11, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Gunther  
507 Moffitt Blvd  
Islip, NY 11751-3020

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Aleman**

3209 S IH 35 2025, Austin, TX 78741

December 11, 2006 3:06 PM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Aleman  
3209 S IH 35  
2025  
Austin, TX 78741

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Aleman**

3209 S IH 35 2025, Austin, TX 78741

December 11, 2006 3:06 PM

Representative Lloyd Doggett  
U.S. House of Representatives  
201 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doggett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Aleman  
3209 S IH 35  
2025  
Austin, TX 78741

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Aleman**

3209 S IH 35 2025, Austin, TX 78741

December 11, 2006 3:06 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Aleman  
3209 S IH 35  
2025  
Austin, TX 78741

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Aleman**

3209 S IH 35 2025, Austin, TX 78741

December 11, 2006 3:06 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Aleman  
3209 S IH 35  
2025  
Austin, TX 78741

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Aleman**

3209 S IH 35 2025, Austin, TX 78741

December 11, 2006 3:06 PM

Representative Lloyd Doggett  
U.S. House of Representatives  
201 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doggett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Aleman  
3209 S IH 35  
2025  
Austin, TX 78741

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Lance Piedmonte**

100 W. Front St. , Monroe, MI 48161

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lance Piedmonte  
100 W. Front St.  
Monroe, MI 48161

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Bell**

349 Adams ST. , Decatur, GA 30030

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Representative Cynthia McKinney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
320 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bell  
349 Adams ST.  
Decatur, GA 30030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Bell**

349 Adams ST. , Decatur, GA 30030

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bell  
349 Adams ST.  
Decatur, GA 30030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lance Piedmonte**

100 W. Front St. , Monroe, MI 48161

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lance Piedmonte  
100 W. Front St.  
Monroe, MI 48161

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Bell**

349 Adams ST. , Decatur, GA 30030

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bell  
349 Adams ST.  
Decatur, GA 30030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Lance Piedmonte**

100 W. Front St. , Monroe, MI 48161

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Representative John Dingell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2328 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lance Piedmonte  
100 W. Front St.  
Monroe, MI 48161

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Bell**

349 Adams ST. , Decatur, GA 30030

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bell  
349 Adams ST.  
Decatur, GA 30030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Bell**

349 Adams ST. , Decatur, GA 30030

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Representative Cynthia McKinney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
320 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Bell  
349 Adams ST.  
Decatur, GA 30030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Lance Piedmonte**

100 W. Front St. , Monroe, MI 48161

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lance Piedmonte  
100 W. Front St.  
Monroe, MI 48161

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Lance Piedmonte**

100 W. Front St. , Monroe, MI 48161

December 11, 2006 3:05 PM

Representative John Dingell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2328 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lance Piedmonte  
100 W. Front St.  
Monroe, MI 48161

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Dean Lowtrip**

209 donegal ave , newbury park, CA 91320

December 11, 2006 3:03 PM

Representative Elton Gallegly  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2427 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dean Lowtrip  
209 donegal ave  
newbury park, CA 91320

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Dean Lowtrip

209 donegal ave , newbury park, CA 91320

December 11, 2006 3:03 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dean Lowtrip  
209 donegal ave  
newbury park, CA 91320

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Dean Lowtrip

209 donegal ave , newbury park, CA 91320

December 11, 2006 3:03 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dean Lowtrip  
209 donegal ave  
newbury park, CA 91320

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Dean Lowtrip

209 donegal ave , newbury park, CA 91320

December 11, 2006 3:03 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dean Lowtrip  
209 donegal ave  
newbury park, CA 91320

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary White**

221 Monsen Road , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary White  
221 Monsen Road  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary White**

221 Monsen Road , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary White  
221 Monsen Road  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary White**

221 Monsen Road , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Representative Marty Meehan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2229 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary White  
221 Monsen Road  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary White**

221 Monsen Road , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Representative Marty Meehan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2229 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary White  
221 Monsen Road  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Bernier**

3861 Brown ave apt 33, Manchester, NH 03103

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Senator John Sununu  
U.S. Senate  
111 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Michael Bernier Manchester NH

Sincerely,  
Michael Bernier  
3861 Brown ave  
apt 33  
Manchester, NH 03103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Bernier**

3861 Brown ave apt 33, Manchester, NH 03103

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1218 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Michael Bernier Manchester NH

Sincerely,  
Michael Bernier  
3861 Brown ave  
apt 33  
Manchester, NH 03103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Bernier**

3861 Brown ave apt 33, Manchester, NH 03103

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Senator John Sununu  
U.S. Senate  
111 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Michael Bernier Manchester NH

Sincerely,  
Michael Bernier  
3861 Brown ave  
apt 33  
Manchester, NH 03103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Bernier**

3861 Brown ave apt 33, Manchester, NH 03103

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Senator Judd Gregg  
U.S. Senate  
393 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Michael Bernier Manchester NH

Sincerely,  
Michael Bernier  
3861 Brown ave  
apt 33  
Manchester, NH 03103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Bernier**

3861 Brown ave apt 33, Manchester, NH 03103

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1218 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Michael Bernier Manchester NH

Sincerely,  
Michael Bernier  
3861 Brown ave  
apt 33  
Manchester, NH 03103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary White**

221 Monsen Road , Concord, MA 01742

December 11, 2006 3:02 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary White  
221 Monsen Road  
Concord, MA 01742

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rosann wuebbels**

11134 O Ave. , Anacortes, WA 98221

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rosann wuebbels  
11134 O Ave.  
Anacortes, WA 98221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rosann wuebbels**

11134 O Ave. , Anacortes, WA 98221

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rosann wuebbels  
11134 O Ave.  
Anacortes, WA 98221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rosann wuebbels**

11134 O Ave. , Anacortes, WA 98221

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rosann wuebbels  
11134 O Ave.  
Anacortes, WA 98221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rosann wuebbels**

11134 O Ave. , Anacortes, WA 98221

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Representative Rick Larsen  
U.S. House of Representatives  
107 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rosann wuebbels  
11134 O Ave.  
Anacortes, WA 98221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kay Novak**

4941 SW Hollyhock Circle , Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kay Novak  
4941 SW Hollyhock Circle  
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rosann wuebbels**

11134 O Ave. , Anacortes, WA 98221

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Representative Rick Larsen  
U.S. House of Representatives  
107 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rosann wuebbels  
11134 O Ave.  
Anacortes, WA 98221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kay Novak**

4941 SW Hollyhock Circle , Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kay Novak  
4941 SW Hollyhock Circle  
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rosann wuebbels**

11134 O Ave. , Anacortes, WA 98221

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rosann wuebbels  
11134 O Ave.  
Anacortes, WA 98221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kay Novak**

4941 SW Hollyhock Circle , Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2430 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kay Novak  
4941 SW Hollyhock Circle  
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kay Novak**

4941 SW Hollyhock Circle , Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2430 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kay Novak  
4941 SW Hollyhock Circle  
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kay Novak**

4941 SW Hollyhock Circle , Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kay Novak  
4941 SW Hollyhock Circle  
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kay Novak**

4941 SW Hollyhock Circle , Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

December 11, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kay Novak  
4941 SW Hollyhock Circle  
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Guy Jones**

2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139 , Vista, CA 92081

December 11, 2006 2:57 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Guy Jones  
2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139  
Vista, CA 92081

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Guy Jones**

2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139 , Vista, CA 92081

December 11, 2006 2:57 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Guy Jones  
2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139  
Vista, CA 92081

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Guy Jones**

2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139 , Vista, CA 92081

December 11, 2006 2:57 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Guy Jones  
2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139  
Vista, CA 92081

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Guy Jones**

2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139 , Vista, CA 92081

December 11, 2006 2:57 PM

Representative Darrell Issa  
U.S. House of Representatives  
211 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Issa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Guy Jones  
2000 S. Melrose Dr. #139  
Vista, CA 92081

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Anthony White**

6935 W. 97th Place , Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

December 11, 2006 2:56 PM

Representative Daniel Lipinski  
U. S. House of Representatives  
1217 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lipinski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Anthony White  
6935 W. 97th Place  
Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Anthony White**

6935 W. 97th Place , Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

December 11, 2006 2:56 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Anthony White  
6935 W. 97th Place  
Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Anthony White**

6935 W. 97th Place , Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

December 11, 2006 2:56 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Anthony White  
6935 W. 97th Place  
Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Anthony White**

6935 W. 97th Place , Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

December 11, 2006 2:56 PM

Representative Daniel Lipinski  
U. S. House of Representatives  
1217 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lipinski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Anthony White  
6935 W. 97th Place  
Chicago Ridge, IL 60415

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Klein**

1709 S Campbell Ave , Springfield, MO 65807

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Klein  
1709 S Campbell Ave  
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Klein**

1709 S Campbell Ave , Springfield, MO 65807

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Christopher Bond  
U.S. Senate  
274 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Klein  
1709 S Campbell Ave  
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Klein**

1709 S Campbell Ave , Springfield, MO 65807

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Representative Roy Blunt  
U.S. House of Representatives  
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Klein  
1709 S Campbell Ave  
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Klein**

1709 S Campbell Ave , Springfield, MO 65807

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Klein  
1709 S Campbell Ave  
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Klein**

1709 S Campbell Ave , Springfield, MO 65807

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Representative Roy Blunt  
U.S. House of Representatives  
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Klein  
1709 S Campbell Ave  
Springfield, MO 65807

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas McMichael**

414 S. 7th St , Goshen, IN 46526

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas McMichael  
414 S. 7th St  
Goshen, IN 46526

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas McMichael**

414 S. 7th St , Goshen, IN 46526

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas McMichael  
414 S. 7th St  
Goshen, IN 46526

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas McMichael**

414 S. 7th St , Goshen, IN 46526

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Representative Mark Souder  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2231 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Souder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas McMichael  
414 S. 7th St  
Goshen, IN 46526

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas McMichael**

414 S. 7th St , Goshen, IN 46526

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas McMichael  
414 S. 7th St  
Goshen, IN 46526

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas McMichael**

414 S. 7th St , Goshen, IN 46526

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas McMichael  
414 S. 7th St  
Goshen, IN 46526

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas McMichael**

414 S. 7th St , Goshen, IN 46526

December 11, 2006 2:55 PM

Representative Mark Souder  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2231 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Souder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas McMichael  
414 S. 7th St  
Goshen, IN 46526

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rich normile**

801 center st , union beach, NJ 07735

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Frank Pallone  
U.S. House of Representatives  
420 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pallone,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rich normile  
801 center st  
union beach, NJ 07735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rich normile**

801 center st , union beach, NJ 07735

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rich normile  
801 center st  
union beach, NJ 07735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rich normile**

801 center st , union beach, NJ 07735

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rich normile  
801 center st  
union beach, NJ 07735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rich normile**

801 center st , union beach, NJ 07735

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rich normile  
801 center st  
union beach, NJ 07735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rich normile**

801 center st , union beach, NJ 07735

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rich normile  
801 center st  
union beach, NJ 07735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**rich normile**

801 center st , union beach, NJ 07735

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Frank Pallone  
U.S. House of Representatives  
420 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pallone,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
rich normile  
801 center st  
union beach, NJ 07735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marcus S.**

2333 Fullen Ave. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marcus S.  
2333 Fullen Ave.  
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marcus S.**

2333 Fullen Ave. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Jane Harman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2400 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marcus S.  
2333 Fullen Ave.  
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alicia Swaringen**

1073 Jackson St , Eugene, OR 97402

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Peter DeFazio  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2134 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Swaringen  
1073 Jackson St  
Eugene, OR 97402

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alicia Swaringen**

1073 Jackson St , Eugene, OR 97402

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Swaringen  
1073 Jackson St  
Eugene, OR 97402

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alicia Swaringen**

1073 Jackson St , Eugene, OR 97402

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Swaringen  
1073 Jackson St  
Eugene, OR 97402

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marcus S.**

2333 Fullen Ave. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Jane Harman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2400 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marcus S.  
2333 Fullen Ave.  
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marcus S.**

2333 Fullen Ave. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marcus S.  
2333 Fullen Ave.  
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marcus S.**

2333 Fullen Ave. , Torrance, CA 90503

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marcus S.  
2333 Fullen Ave.  
Torrance, CA 90503

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alicia Swaringen**

1073 Jackson St , Eugene, OR 97402

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Swaringen  
1073 Jackson St  
Eugene, OR 97402

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alicia Swaringen**

1073 Jackson St , Eugene, OR 97402

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Swaringen  
1073 Jackson St  
Eugene, OR 97402

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alicia Swaringen**

1073 Jackson St , Eugene, OR 97402

December 11, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Peter DeFazio  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2134 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alicia Swaringen  
1073 Jackson St  
Eugene, OR 97402

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**yvonne cisar**

2944 120th st ne , cedar rapids, IA 52404

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Representative Jim Leach  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2186 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
yvonne cisar  
2944 120th st ne  
cedar rapids, IA 52404

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Connie Ory**

1000 1st Ave. SE , Minot, ND 58701

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Byron Dorgan  
U.S. Senate  
322 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dorgan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Connie Ory  
1000 1st Ave. SE  
Minot, ND 58701

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Connie Ory**

1000 1st Ave. SE , Minot, ND 58701

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Representative Earl Pomeroy  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1501 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pomeroy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Connie Ory  
1000 1st Ave. SE  
Minot, ND 58701

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**yvonne cisar**

2944 120th st ne , cedar rapids, IA 52404

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley  
U.S. Senate  
135 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
yvonne cisar  
2944 120th st ne  
cedar rapids, IA 52404

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Connie Ory**

1000 1st Ave. SE , Minot, ND 58701

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Kent Conrad  
U.S. Senate  
530 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Conrad,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Connie Ory  
1000 1st Ave. SE  
Minot, ND 58701

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**yvonne cisar**

2944 120th st ne , cedar rapids, IA 52404

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Tom Harkin  
U.S. Senate  
731 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
yvonne cisar  
2944 120th st ne  
cedar rapids, IA 52404

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**yvonne cisar**

2944 120th st ne , cedar rapids, IA 52404

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley  
U.S. Senate  
135 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
yvonne cisar  
2944 120th st ne  
cedar rapids, IA 52404

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**yvonne cisar**

2944 120th st ne , cedar rapids, IA 52404

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Representative Jim Leach  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2186 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
yvonne cisar  
2944 120th st ne  
cedar rapids, IA 52404

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Connie Ory**

1000 1st Ave. SE , Minot, ND 58701

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Kent Conrad  
U.S. Senate  
530 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Conrad,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Connie Ory  
1000 1st Ave. SE  
Minot, ND 58701

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Connie Ory**

1000 1st Ave. SE , Minot, ND 58701

December 11, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Byron Dorgan  
U.S. Senate  
322 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dorgan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Connie Ory  
1000 1st Ave. SE  
Minot, ND 58701

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Brian Hunter**

603 SE 1st St , bryant, AR 72022

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator Blanche Lincoln  
U.S. Senate  
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Brian Hunter  
603 SE 1st St  
Bryant, AR 72022

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Brian Hunter**

603 SE 1st St , bryant, AR 72022

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator Mark Pryor  
U.S. Senate  
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Brian Hunter  
603 SE 1st St  
Bryant, AR 72022

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Brian Hunter**

603 SE 1st St , bryant, AR 72022

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Representative Vic Snyder  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1330 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Snyder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Brian Hunter  
603 SE 1st St  
Bryant, AR 72022

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Brian Hunter**

603 SE 1st St , bryant, AR 72022

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator Mark Pryor  
U.S. Senate  
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Brian Hunter  
603 SE 1st St  
Bryant, AR 72022

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Douglas Fulton**

7107 W. Cross Creek trl. , Brecksville, OH 44141

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Representative Sherrod Brown  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2332 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Fulton  
7107 W. Cross Creek trl.  
Brecksville, OH 44141

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Douglas Fulton**

7107 W. Cross Creek trl. , Brecksville, OH 44141

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Fulton  
7107 W. Cross Creek trl.  
Brecksville, OH 44141

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Brian Hunter**

603 SE 1st St , bryant, AR 72022

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Representative Vic Snyder  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1330 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Snyder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Brian Hunter  
603 SE 1st St  
Bryant, AR 72022

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Brian Hunter**

603 SE 1st St , bryant, AR 72022

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator Blanche Lincoln  
U.S. Senate  
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Brian Hunter  
603 SE 1st St  
Bryant, AR 72022

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Douglas Fulton**

7107 W. Cross Creek trl. , Brecksville, OH 44141

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator George Voinovich  
U.S. Senate  
524 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Fulton  
7107 W. Cross Creek trl.  
Brecksville, OH 44141

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Douglas Fulton**

7107 W. Cross Creek trl. , Brecksville, OH 44141

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Fulton  
7107 W. Cross Creek trl.  
Brecksville, OH 44141

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Douglas Fulton**

7107 W. Cross Creek trl. , Brecksville, OH 44141

December 11, 2006 2:47 PM

Representative Sherrod Brown  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2332 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Douglas Fulton  
7107 W. Cross Creek trl.  
Brecksville, OH 44141

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Matt McCloud**

14935 Ashgrove dr. , Sterling Heights, MI 48313

December 11, 2006 2:44 PM

Representative Candice Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
228 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt McCloud  
14935 Ashgrove dr.  
Sterling Heights, MI 48313

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Matt McCloud**

14935 Ashgrove dr. , Sterling Heights, MI 48313

December 11, 2006 2:44 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt McCloud  
14935 Ashgrove dr.  
Sterling Heights, MI 48313

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Matt McCloud**

14935 Ashgrove dr. , Sterling Heights, MI 48313

December 11, 2006 2:44 PM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt McCloud  
14935 Ashgrove dr.  
Sterling Heights, MI 48313

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Matt McCloud**

14935 Ashgrove dr. , Sterling Heights, MI 48313

December 11, 2006 2:44 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt McCloud  
14935 Ashgrove dr.  
Sterling Heights, MI 48313

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matt McCloud**

14935 Ashgrove dr. , Sterling Heights, MI 48313

December 11, 2006 2:44 PM

Representative Candice Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
228 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt McCloud  
14935 Ashgrove dr.  
Sterling Heights, MI 48313

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Maria Rosa Kaufman**

PO Box 238 , Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 11, 2006 2:43 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2263 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Maria Rosa Kaufman  
PO Box 238  
Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Maria Rosa Kaufman**

PO Box 238 , Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 11, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Maria Rosa Kaufman  
PO Box 238  
Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Maria Rosa Kaufman**

PO Box 238 , Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 11, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Maria Rosa Kaufman  
PO Box 238  
Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Maria Rosa Kaufman**

PO Box 238 , Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 11, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Maria Rosa Kaufman  
PO Box 238  
Pt Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francisco Pinochet**

8300 Healy Dr , Mobile, AL 36695

December 11, 2006 2:42 PM

Senator Richard Shelby  
U.S. Senate  
110 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francisco Pinochet  
8300 Healy Dr  
Mobile, AL 36695

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francisco Pinochet**

8300 Healy Dr , Mobile, AL 36695

December 11, 2006 2:42 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions  
U.S. Senate  
335 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francisco Pinochet  
8300 Healy Dr  
Mobile, AL 36695

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francisco Pinochet**

8300 Healy Dr , Mobile, AL 36695

December 11, 2006 2:42 PM

Senator Richard Shelby  
U.S. Senate  
110 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francisco Pinochet  
8300 Healy Dr  
Mobile, AL 36695

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francisco Pinochet**

8300 Healy Dr , Mobile, AL 36695

December 11, 2006 2:42 PM

Representative Jo Bonner  
U.S. House of Representatives  
315 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francisco Pinochet  
8300 Healy Dr  
Mobile, AL 36695

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Nemann**

2301 Grandview Ave , Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

December 11, 2006 2:40 PM

Representative Jean Schmidt  
U. S. House of Representatives  
238 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schmidt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Nemann  
2301 Grandview Ave  
Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Nemann**

2301 Grandview Ave , Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

December 11, 2006 2:40 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Nemann  
2301 Grandview Ave  
Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Nemann**

2301 Grandview Ave , Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

December 11, 2006 2:40 PM

Senator George Voinovich  
U.S. Senate  
524 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Nemann  
2301 Grandview Ave  
Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Nemann**

2301 Grandview Ave , Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

December 11, 2006 2:40 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Nemann  
2301 Grandview Ave  
Cincinnati, OH 45206-2219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tim Hudson**

745 E Barbarita Avenue , Gilbert, AZ 85234

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hudson  
745 E Barbarita Avenue  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tim Hudson**

745 E Barbarita Avenue , Gilbert, AZ 85234

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hudson  
745 E Barbarita Avenue  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tim Hudson**

745 E Barbarita Avenue , Gilbert, AZ 85234

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Representative Jeff Flake  
U.S. House of Representatives  
424 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Flake,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hudson  
745 E Barbarita Avenue  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tim Hudson**

745 E Barbarita Avenue , Gilbert, AZ 85234

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hudson  
745 E Barbarita Avenue  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sybil Graffunder**

2448 Garfield Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55405

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Mark Dayton  
U.S. Senate  
123 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sybil Graffunder  
2448 Garfield Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55405

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sybil Graffunder**

2448 Garfield Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55405

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sybil Graffunder  
2448 Garfield Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55405

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tim Hudson**

745 E Barbarita Avenue , Gilbert, AZ 85234

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Representative Jeff Flake  
U.S. House of Representatives  
424 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Flake,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hudson  
745 E Barbarita Avenue  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sybil Graffunder**

2448 Garfield Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55405

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Representative Martin Sabo  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2336 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sabo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sybil Graffunder  
2448 Garfield Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55405

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tim Hudson**

745 E Barbarita Avenue , Gilbert, AZ 85234

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tim Hudson  
745 E Barbarita Avenue  
Gilbert, AZ 85234

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bryan Moss**

4116 Pelican Ln , Florence, SC 29501

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Jim Demint  
U. S. Senate  
340 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Moss  
4116 Pelican Ln  
Florence, SC 29501

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bryan Moss**

4116 Pelican Ln , Florence, SC 29501

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Representative James Clyburn  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2135 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Clyburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Moss  
4116 Pelican Ln  
Florence, SC 29501

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bryan Moss**

4116 Pelican Ln , Florence, SC 29501

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham  
U.S. Senate  
290 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Moss  
4116 Pelican Ln  
Florence, SC 29501

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sybil Graffunder**

2448 Garfield Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55405

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sybil Graffunder  
2448 Garfield Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55405

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bryan Moss**

4116 Pelican Ln , Florence, SC 29501

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham  
U.S. Senate  
290 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Moss  
4116 Pelican Ln  
Florence, SC 29501

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bryan Moss**

4116 Pelican Ln , Florence, SC 29501

December 11, 2006 2:39 PM

Representative James Clyburn  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2135 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Clyburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bryan Moss  
4116 Pelican Ln  
Florence, SC 29501

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Devon George**

13182 Hwy 110 S. , Tyer, TX 75707

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Devon George  
13182 Hwy 110 S.  
Tyer, TX 75707

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Devon George**

13182 Hwy 110 S. , Tyer, TX 75707

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Devon George  
13182 Hwy 110 S.  
Tyer, TX 75707

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Devon George**

13182 Hwy 110 S. , Tyer, TX 75707

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Representative Louie Gohmert  
U.S. House of Representatives  
508 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gohmert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Devon George  
13182 Hwy 110 S.  
Tyer, TX 75707

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Devon George**

13182 Hwy 110 S. , Tyer, TX 75707

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Devon George  
13182 Hwy 110 S.  
Tyer, TX 75707

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Conville**

339 Riverhaven Circle , Hoover, AL 35244

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Richard Shelby  
U.S. Senate  
110 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Conville  
339 Riverhaven Circle  
Hoover, AL 35244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Conville**

339 Riverhaven Circle , Hoover, AL 35244

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Representative Spencer Bachus  
U.S. House of Representatives  
442 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bachus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Conville  
339 Riverhaven Circle  
Hoover, AL 35244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**patrick toma**

150 lancaster st , cohoes, NY 12047

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Representative Michael McNulty  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
patrick toma  
150 lancaster st  
cohoes, NY 12047

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**patrick toma**

150 lancaster st , cohoes, NY 12047

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
patrick toma  
150 lancaster st  
cohoes, NY 12047

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Conville**

339 Riverhaven Circle , Hoover, AL 35244

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Representative Spencer Bachus  
U.S. House of Representatives  
442 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bachus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Conville  
339 Riverhaven Circle  
Hoover, AL 35244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Conville**

339 Riverhaven Circle , Hoover, AL 35244

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Richard Shelby  
U.S. Senate  
110 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Conville  
339 Riverhaven Circle  
Hoover, AL 35244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Conville**

339 Riverhaven Circle , Hoover, AL 35244

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions  
U.S. Senate  
335 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Conville  
339 Riverhaven Circle  
Hoover, AL 35244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**patrick toma**

150 lancaster st , cohoes, NY 12047

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
patrick toma  
150 lancaster st  
cohoes, NY 12047

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**patrick toma**

150 lancaster st , cohoes, NY 12047

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Representative Michael McNulty  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
patrick toma  
150 lancaster st  
cohoes, NY 12047

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**patrick toma**

150 lancaster st , cohoes, NY 12047

December 11, 2006 2:36 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
patrick toma  
150 lancaster st  
cohoes, NY 12047

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jack Coots**

4515 Central Ave., #3 , McKinleyville, CA 95519

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Representative Mike Thompson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
231 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly." Sincerely, Jack W. Coots, Jr.  
4515 Central Ave. #3 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jack Coots  
4515 Central Ave., #3  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Dale Hachten**

4000 Norbourne Blvd. , Louisville, KY 40207

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dale Hachten  
4000 Norbourne Blvd.  
Louisville, KY 40207

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Dale Hachten**

4000 Norbourne Blvd. , Louisville, KY 40207

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Jim Bunning  
U.S. Senate  
316 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dale Hachten  
4000 Norbourne Blvd.  
Louisville, KY 40207

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Dale Hachten**

4000 Norbourne Blvd. , Louisville, KY 40207

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Representative Anne Northup  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2459 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Northup,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dale Hachten  
4000 Norbourne Blvd.  
Louisville, KY 40207

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jamison Berwagre**

1700 harvard street nw , washington, DC 20009

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Delegate Eleanor Norton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2136 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Norton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jamison Berwagre  
1700 harvard street nw  
washington, DC 20009

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jack Coots**

4515 Central Ave., #3 , McKinleyville, CA 95519

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly." Sincerely, Jack W. Coots, Jr.  
4515 Central Ave. #3 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jack Coots  
4515 Central Ave., #3  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**chris lanzon**

218a south hills drive , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander  
U.S. Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
chris lanzon  
218a south hills drive  
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jack Coots**

4515 Central Ave., #3 , McKinleyville, CA 95519

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly." Sincerely, Jack W. Coots, Jr.  
4515 Central Ave. #3 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jack Coots  
4515 Central Ave., #3  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jack Coots**

4515 Central Ave., #3 , McKinleyville, CA 95519

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Representative Mike Thompson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
231 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly." Sincerely, Jack W. Coots, Jr.  
4515 Central Ave. #3 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jack Coots  
4515 Central Ave., #3  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**chris lanzon**

218a south hills drive , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Bill Frist  
U.S. Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
chris lanzon  
218a south hills drive  
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**chris lanzon**

218a south hills drive , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Representative William Jenkins  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1207 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jenkins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
chris lanzon  
218a south hills drive  
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Jack Coots**

4515 Central Ave., #3 , McKinleyville, CA 95519

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly." Sincerely, Jack W. Coots, Jr.  
4515 Central Ave. #3 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jack Coots  
4515 Central Ave., #3  
McKinleyville, CA 95519

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jamison Berwagre**

1700 harvard street nw , washington, DC 20009

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Delegate Eleanor Norton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2136 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Norton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jamison Berwagre  
1700 harvard street nw  
washington, DC 20009

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Dale Hachten**

4000 Norbourne Blvd. , Louisville, KY 40207

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dale Hachten  
4000 Norbourne Blvd.  
Louisville, KY 40207

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Dale Hachten**

4000 Norbourne Blvd. , Louisville, KY 40207

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Representative Anne Northup  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2459 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Northup,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dale Hachten  
4000 Norbourne Blvd.  
Louisville, KY 40207

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**chris lanzon**

218a south hills drive , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander  
U.S. Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
chris lanzon  
218a south hills drive  
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**chris lanzon**

218a south hills drive , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Senator Bill Frist  
U.S. Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
chris lanzon  
218a south hills drive  
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**chris lanzon**

218a south hills drive , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 11, 2006 2:33 PM

Representative William Jenkins  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1207 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jenkins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
chris lanzon  
218a south hills drive  
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Ronald Bingham**

4429 VT Rte. 14 , South Royalton, VT 05068

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords  
U.S. Senate  
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Bingham  
4429 VT Rte. 14  
South Royalton, VT 05068

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Ronald Bingham**

4429 VT Rte. 14 , South Royalton, VT 05068

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2233 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Bingham  
4429 VT Rte. 14  
South Royalton, VT 05068

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Bingham**

4429 VT Rte. 14 , South Royalton, VT 05068

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy  
U.S. Senate  
433 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Bingham  
4429 VT Rte. 14  
South Royalton, VT 05068

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew McKenna**

1426 Avenue E , Billings, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg  
U.S. House of Representatives  
516 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew McKenna  
1426 Avenue E  
Billings, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew McKenna**

1426 Avenue E , Billings, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Max Baucus  
U.S. Senate  
511 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew McKenna  
1426 Avenue E  
Billings, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew McKenna**

1426 Avenue E , Billings, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Conrad Burns  
U.S. Senate  
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew McKenna  
1426 Avenue E  
Billings, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew McKenna**

1426 Avenue E , Billings, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Conrad Burns  
U.S. Senate  
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew McKenna  
1426 Avenue E  
Billings, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew McKenna**

1426 Avenue E , Billings, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg  
U.S. House of Representatives  
516 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew McKenna  
1426 Avenue E  
Billings, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Ronald Bingham**

4429 VT Rte. 14 , South Royalton, VT 05068

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords  
U.S. Senate  
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Bingham  
4429 VT Rte. 14  
South Royalton, VT 05068

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Bingham**

4429 VT Rte. 14 , South Royalton, VT 05068

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy  
U.S. Senate  
433 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Bingham  
4429 VT Rte. 14  
South Royalton, VT 05068

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Ronald Bingham**

4429 VT Rte. 14 , South Royalton, VT 05068

December 11, 2006 2:29 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2233 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Bingham  
4429 VT Rte. 14  
South Royalton, VT 05068

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sylvia Shortt**

139 Taylor Rd. , Whitesburg, GA 30185

December 11, 2006 2:28 PM

Representative Lynn Westmoreland  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1118 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Westmoreland,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Shortt  
139 Taylor Rd.  
Whitesburg, GA 30185

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sylvia Shortt**

139 Taylor Rd. , Whitesburg, GA 30185

December 11, 2006 2:28 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Shortt  
139 Taylor Rd.  
Whitesburg, GA 30185

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sylvia Shortt**

139 Taylor Rd. , Whitesburg, GA 30185

December 11, 2006 2:28 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Shortt  
139 Taylor Rd.  
Whitesburg, GA 30185

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sylvia Shortt**

139 Taylor Rd. , Whitesburg, GA 30185

December 11, 2006 2:28 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sylvia Shortt  
139 Taylor Rd.  
Whitesburg, GA 30185

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ditanyon Johnson**

1850 W. 169th St , Gardena, CA 90247

December 11, 2006 2:27 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ditanyon Johnson  
1850 W. 169th St  
Gardena, CA 90247

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ditanyon Johnson**

1850 W. 169th St , Gardena, CA 90247

December 11, 2006 2:27 PM

Representative Maxine Waters  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2344 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waters,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ditanyon Johnson  
1850 W. 169th St  
Gardena, CA 90247

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ditanyon Johnson**

1850 W. 169th St , Gardena, CA 90247

December 11, 2006 2:27 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ditanyon Johnson  
1850 W. 169th St  
Gardena, CA 90247

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ditanyon Johnson**

1850 W. 169th St , Gardena, CA 90247

December 11, 2006 2:27 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ditanyon Johnson  
1850 W. 169th St  
Gardena, CA 90247

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Parker**

12426 Verde Glen , Houston, TX 77071

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Representative Al Green  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1529 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Green,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Parker  
12426 Verde Glen  
Houston, TX 77071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Parker**

12426 Verde Glen , Houston, TX 77071

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Parker  
12426 Verde Glen  
Houston, TX 77071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Parker**

12426 Verde Glen , Houston, TX 77071

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Parker  
12426 Verde Glen  
Houston, TX 77071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Benjamin Butcher**

6 Sanderson Road , Poland, ME 04274

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Susan Collins  
U.S. Senate  
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Butcher  
6 Sanderson Road  
Poland, ME 04274

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ryan Hart**

123 main Street , Jacksonville, FL 32225

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Hart  
123 main Street  
Jacksonville, FL 32225

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Ryan Hart**

123 main Street , Jacksonville, FL 32225

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Hart  
123 main Street  
Jacksonville, FL 32225

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ryan Hart**

123 main Street , Jacksonville, FL 32225

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Hart  
123 main Street  
Jacksonville, FL 32225

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Parker**

12426 Verde Glen , Houston, TX 77071

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Parker  
12426 Verde Glen  
Houston, TX 77071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Parker**

12426 Verde Glen , Houston, TX 77071

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Representative Al Green  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1529 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Green,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Parker  
12426 Verde Glen  
Houston, TX 77071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Benjamin Butcher**

6 Sanderson Road , Poland, ME 04274

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Representative Mike Michaud  
U.S. House of Representatives  
437 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Michaud,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Butcher  
6 Sanderson Road  
Poland, ME 04274

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Benjamin Butcher**

6 Sanderson Road , Poland, ME 04274

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe  
U.S. Senate  
154 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Butcher  
6 Sanderson Road  
Poland, ME 04274

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Benjamin Butcher**

6 Sanderson Road , Poland, ME 04274

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Susan Collins  
U.S. Senate  
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Butcher  
6 Sanderson Road  
Poland, ME 04274

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Benjamin Butcher**

6 Sanderson Road , Poland, ME 04274

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe  
U.S. Senate  
154 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Butcher  
6 Sanderson Road  
Poland, ME 04274

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Benjamin Butcher**

6 Sanderson Road , Poland, ME 04274

December 11, 2006 2:25 PM

Representative Mike Michaud  
U.S. House of Representatives  
437 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Michaud,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Benjamin Butcher  
6 Sanderson Road  
Poland, ME 04274

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Doupe**

102 Radcliffe Ave , Farmingdale, NY 11735

December 11, 2006 2:23 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Doupe  
102 Radcliffe Ave  
Farmingdale, NY 11735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Doupe**

102 Radcliffe Ave , Farmingdale, NY 11735

December 11, 2006 2:23 PM

Representative Peter King  
U.S. House of Representatives  
436 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative King,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Doupe  
102 Radcliffe Ave  
Farmingdale, NY 11735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Doupe**

102 Radcliffe Ave , Farmingdale, NY 11735

December 11, 2006 2:23 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Doupe  
102 Radcliffe Ave  
Farmingdale, NY 11735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Doupe**

102 Radcliffe Ave , Farmingdale, NY 11735

December 11, 2006 2:23 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Doupe  
102 Radcliffe Ave  
Farmingdale, NY 11735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Doupe**

102 Radcliffe Ave , Farmingdale, NY 11735

December 11, 2006 2:23 PM

Representative Peter King  
U.S. House of Representatives  
436 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative King,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Doupe  
102 Radcliffe Ave  
Farmingdale, NY 11735

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Barton Bean**

23236 Via Bahia , Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

December 11, 2006 2:22 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Barton Bean  
23236 Via Bahia  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Barton Bean**

23236 Via Bahia , Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

December 11, 2006 2:22 PM

Representative Gary Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1037 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Barton Bean  
23236 Via Bahia  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Barton Bean**

23236 Via Bahia , Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

December 11, 2006 2:22 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Barton Bean  
23236 Via Bahia  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Barton Bean**

23236 Via Bahia , Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

December 11, 2006 2:22 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Barton Bean  
23236 Via Bahia  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Barton Bean**

23236 Via Bahia , Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

December 11, 2006 2:22 PM

Representative Gary Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1037 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Barton Bean  
23236 Via Bahia  
Mission Viejo, CA 92691-2114

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Gallagher**

N5295 Broughton Rd , Albany, WI 53502

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1022 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Gallagher  
N5295 Broughton Rd  
Albany, WI 53502

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gerald B. Schroeder**

2645 N. Bridger Dr. , Blgs, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Conrad Burns  
U.S. Senate  
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gerald B. Schroeder  
2645 N. Bridger Dr.  
Blgs, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gerald B. Schroeder**

2645 N. Bridger Dr. , Blgs, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg  
U.S. House of Representatives  
516 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gerald B. Schroeder  
2645 N. Bridger Dr.  
Blgs, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Gallagher**

N5295 Broughton Rd , Albany, WI 53502

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Gallagher  
N5295 Broughton Rd  
Albany, WI 53502

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gerald B. Schroeder**

2645 N. Bridger Dr. , Blgs, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Max Baucus  
U.S. Senate  
511 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gerald B. Schroeder  
2645 N. Bridger Dr.  
Blgs, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Gallagher**

N5295 Broughton Rd , Albany, WI 53502

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Gallagher  
N5295 Broughton Rd  
Albany, WI 53502

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gerald B. Schroeder**

2645 N. Bridger Dr. , Blgs, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Conrad Burns  
U.S. Senate  
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gerald B. Schroeder  
2645 N. Bridger Dr.  
Blgs, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gerald B. Schroeder**

2645 N. Bridger Dr. , Blgs, MT 59102

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg  
U.S. House of Representatives  
516 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gerald B. Schroeder  
2645 N. Bridger Dr.  
Blgs, MT 59102

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Gallagher**

N5295 Broughton Rd , Albany, WI 53502

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Gallagher  
N5295 Broughton Rd  
Albany, WI 53502

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Gallagher**

N5295 Broughton Rd , Albany, WI 53502

December 11, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Gallagher  
N5295 Broughton Rd  
Albany, WI 53502

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roberta Paro**

246A Yantic Street , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman  
U.S. Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Paro  
246A Yantic Street  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Morley**

17973 M-40 , Gobles, MI 49055

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Representative Fred Upton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2183 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Upton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Commissioner Martin should be censured, at the least, for this blatant attempt to subvert the processes of the FCC for the benefit of his corporate masters. The phone and cable companies should be required to act as common-carriers of Internet communications. Anything else simply opens the door to allow them to abuse their power by deciding who will be the winners and losers in the currently free and open marketplace that is the Internet. I cannot urge you too strongly to do all in your power to oppose FCC Commissioner Martin's unethical move.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Morley  
17973 M-40  
Gobles, MI 49055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roberta Paro**

246A Yantic Street , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Representative Rob Simmons  
U.S. House of Representatives  
215 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Paro  
246A Yantic Street  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roberta Paro**

246A Yantic Street , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman  
U.S. Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Paro  
246A Yantic Street  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Morley**

17973 M-40 , Gobles, MI 49055

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Commissioner Martin should be censured, at the least, for this blatant attempt to subvert the processes of the FCC for the benefit of his corporate masters. The phone and cable companies should be required to act as common-carriers of Internet communications. Anything else simply opens the door to allow them to abuse their power by deciding who will be the winners and losers in the currently free and open marketplace that is the Internet. I cannot urge you too strongly to do all in your power to oppose FCC Commissioner Martin's unethical move.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Morley  
17973 M-40  
Gobles, MI 49055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Morley**

17973 M-40 , Gobles, MI 49055

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Commissioner Martin should be censured, at the least, for this blatant attempt to subvert the processes of the FCC for the benefit of his corporate masters. The phone and cable companies should be required to act as common-carriers of Internet communications. Anything else simply opens the door to allow them to abuse their power by deciding who will be the winners and losers in the currently free and open marketplace that is the Internet. I cannot urge you too strongly to do all in your power to oppose FCC Commissioner Martin's unethical move.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Morley  
17973 M-40  
Gobles, MI 49055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Roberta Paro**

246A Yantic Street , Norwich, CT 06360

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Chris Dodd  
U.S. Senate  
448 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Roberta Paro  
246A Yantic Street  
Norwich, CT 06360

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Morley**

17973 M-40 , Gobles, MI 49055

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Commissioner Martin should be censured, at the least, for this blatant attempt to subvert the processes of the FCC for the benefit of his corporate masters. The phone and cable companies should be required to act as common-carriers of Internet communications. Anything else simply opens the door to allow them to abuse their power by deciding who will be the winners and losers in the currently free and open marketplace that is the Internet. I cannot urge you too strongly to do all in your power to oppose FCC Commissioner Martin's unethical move.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Morley  
17973 M-40  
Gobles, MI 49055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ronald Morley**

17973 M-40 , Gobles, MI 49055

December 11, 2006 2:16 PM

Representative Fred Upton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2183 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Upton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Commissioner Martin should be censured, at the least, for this blatant attempt to subvert the processes of the FCC for the benefit of his corporate masters. The phone and cable companies should be required to act as common-carriers of Internet communications. Anything else simply opens the door to allow them to abuse their power by deciding who will be the winners and losers in the currently free and open marketplace that is the Internet. I cannot urge you too strongly to do all in your power to oppose FCC Commissioner Martin's unethical move.

Sincerely,  
Ronald Morley  
17973 M-40  
Gobles, MI 49055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Monica Harris**

73752 H Road , Loomis, NE 68958

December 11, 2006 2:13 PM

Representative Tom Osborne  
U.S. House of Representatives  
507 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Osborne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Monica Harris  
73752 H Road  
Loomis, NE 68958

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Monica Harris**

73752 H Road , Loomis, NE 68958

December 11, 2006 2:13 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel  
U.S. Senate  
248 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Monica Harris  
73752 H Road  
Loomis, NE 68958

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Monica Harris**

73752 H Road , Loomis, NE 68958

December 11, 2006 2:13 PM

Senator Ben Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
720 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Monica Harris  
73752 H Road  
Loomis, NE 68958

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Monica Harris**

73752 H Road , Loomis, NE 68958

December 11, 2006 2:13 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel  
U.S. Senate  
248 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Monica Harris  
73752 H Road  
Loomis, NE 68958

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Monica Harris**

73752 H Road , Loomis, NE 68958

December 11, 2006 2:13 PM

Representative Tom Osborne  
U.S. House of Representatives  
507 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Osborne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Monica Harris  
73752 H Road  
Loomis, NE 68958

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**robert mc clurg**

1000 maplewood place , nashville, TN 37216

December 11, 2006 2:12 PM

Representative Jim Cooper  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1536 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cooper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
robert mc clurg  
1000 maplewood place  
nashville, TN 37216

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**robert mc clurg**

1000 maplewood place , nashville, TN 37216

December 11, 2006 2:12 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander  
U.S. Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
robert mc clurg  
1000 maplewood place  
nashville, TN 37216

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**robert mc clurg**

1000 maplewood place , nashville, TN 37216

December 11, 2006 2:12 PM

Senator Bill Frist  
U.S. Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
robert mc clurg  
1000 maplewood place  
nashville, TN 37216

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**robert mc clurg**

1000 maplewood place , nashville, TN 37216

December 11, 2006 2:12 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander  
U.S. Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
robert mc clurg  
1000 maplewood place  
nashville, TN 37216

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**robert mc clurg**

1000 maplewood place , nashville, TN 37216

December 11, 2006 2:12 PM

Senator Bill Frist  
U.S. Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
robert mc clurg  
1000 maplewood place  
nashville, TN 37216

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**robert mc clurg**

1000 maplewood place , nashville, TN 37216

December 11, 2006 2:12 PM

Representative Jim Cooper  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1536 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cooper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
robert mc clurg  
1000 maplewood place  
nashville, TN 37216

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marc Woodard**

340 E. Simpson #16 , Jackson, WY 83002

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Craig Thomas  
U.S. Senate  
307 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marc Woodard  
340 E. Simpson #16  
Jackson, WY 83002

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marc Woodard**

340 E. Simpson #16 , Jackson, WY 83002

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Representative Barbara Cubin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1114 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cubin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marc Woodard  
340 E. Simpson #16  
Jackson, WY 83002

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marc Woodard**

340 E. Simpson #16 , Jackson, WY 83002

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Mike Enzi  
U.S. Senate  
379-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Enzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marc Woodard  
340 E. Simpson #16  
Jackson, WY 83002

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marc Woodard**

340 E. Simpson #16 , Jackson, WY 83002

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Representative Barbara Cubin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1114 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cubin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marc Woodard  
340 E. Simpson #16  
Jackson, WY 83002

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ann Morrissey**

400 W 1st Street , Chico, CA 95929

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

If I cannot access any thing I want on the internet, I will no longer use ATT as my internet provider.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ann Morrissey  
400 W 1st Street  
Chico, CA 95929

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ann Morrissey**

400 W 1st Street , Chico, CA 95929

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

If I cannot access any thing I want on the internet, I will no longer use ATT as my internet provider.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ann Morrissey  
400 W 1st Street  
Chico, CA 95929

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ann Morrissey**

400 W 1st Street , Chico, CA 95929

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

If I cannot access any thing I want on the internet, I will no longer use ATT as my internet provider.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ann Morrissey  
400 W 1st Street  
Chico, CA 95929

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ann Morrissey**

400 W 1st Street , Chico, CA 95929

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Representative Wally Herger  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2268 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Herger,

If I cannot access any thing I want on the internet, I will no longer use ATT as my internet provider.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ann Morrissey  
400 W 1st Street  
Chico, CA 95929

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marc Woodard**

340 E. Simpson #16 , Jackson, WY 83002

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Mike Enzi  
U.S. Senate  
379-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Enzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marc Woodard  
340 E. Simpson #16  
Jackson, WY 83002

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hoyt**

5340 Bancroft Ave. , St. Louis, MO 63109

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hoyt  
5340 Bancroft Ave.  
St. Louis, MO 63109

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hoyt**

5340 Bancroft Ave. , St. Louis, MO 63109

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1232 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hoyt  
5340 Bancroft Ave.  
St. Louis, MO 63109

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hoyt**

5340 Bancroft Ave. , St. Louis, MO 63109

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Christopher Bond  
U.S. Senate  
274 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hoyt  
5340 Bancroft Ave.  
St. Louis, MO 63109

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hoyt**

5340 Bancroft Ave. , St. Louis, MO 63109

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hoyt  
5340 Bancroft Ave.  
St. Louis, MO 63109

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hoyt**

5340 Bancroft Ave. , St. Louis, MO 63109

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Representative Russ Carnahan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1232 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hoyt  
5340 Bancroft Ave.  
St. Louis, MO 63109

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Marc Woodard**

340 E. Simpson #16 , Jackson, WY 83002

December 11, 2006 2:10 PM

Senator Craig Thomas  
U.S. Senate  
307 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Marc Woodard  
340 E. Simpson #16  
Jackson, WY 83002

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## David Leedle

772Brownwood Ave , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 11, 2006 2:09 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Leedle  
772Brownwood Ave  
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Leedle**

772Brownwood Ave , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 11, 2006 2:09 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Leedle  
772Brownwood Ave  
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## David Leedle

772Brownwood Ave , Atlanta, GA 30316

December 11, 2006 2:09 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Leedle  
772Brownwood Ave  
Atlanta, GA 30316

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Quarton**

6660 N Maple Rd , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 11, 2006 2:04 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Quarton  
6660 N Maple Rd  
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Quarton**

6660 N Maple Rd , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 11, 2006 2:04 PM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Quarton  
6660 N Maple Rd  
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William Quarton**

6660 N Maple Rd , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 11, 2006 2:04 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William Quarton  
6660 N Maple Rd  
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**andrew sinauer**

206 n. leverett rd. , leverett, MA 01054

December 11, 2006 2:03 PM

Representative John Olver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
andrew sinauer  
206 n. leverett rd.  
leverett, MA 01054

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**andrew sinauer**

206 n. leverett rd. , leverett, MA 01054

December 11, 2006 2:03 PM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
andrew sinauer  
206 n. leverett rd.  
leverett, MA 01054

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**andrew sinauer**

206 n. leverett rd. , leverett, MA 01054

December 11, 2006 2:03 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
andrew sinauer  
206 n. leverett rd.  
leverett, MA 01054

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**andrew sinauer**

206 n. leverett rd. , leverett, MA 01054

December 11, 2006 2:03 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
andrew sinauer  
206 n. leverett rd.  
leverett, MA 01054

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**andrew sinauer**

206 n. leverett rd. , leverett, MA 01054

December 11, 2006 2:03 PM

Representative John Olver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
andrew sinauer  
206 n. leverett rd.  
leverett, MA 01054

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bill Weber**

2268 Fox Hound Pkwy. , Marietta, GA 30062

December 11, 2006 2:02 PM

Representative Thomas Price  
U.S. House of Representatives  
506 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Price,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bill Weber  
2268 Fox Hound Pkwy.  
Marietta, GA 30062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bill Weber**

2268 Fox Hound Pkwy. , Marietta, GA 30062

December 11, 2006 2:02 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bill Weber  
2268 Fox Hound Pkwy.  
Marietta, GA 30062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bill Weber**

2268 Fox Hound Pkwy. , Marietta, GA 30062

December 11, 2006 2:02 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bill Weber  
2268 Fox Hound Pkwy.  
Marietta, GA 30062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bill Weber**

2268 Fox Hound Pkwy. , Marietta, GA 30062

December 11, 2006 2:02 PM

Representative Thomas Price  
U.S. House of Representatives  
506 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Price,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bill Weber  
2268 Fox Hound Pkwy.  
Marietta, GA 30062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bill Weber**

2268 Fox Hound Pkwy. , Marietta, GA 30062

December 11, 2006 2:02 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bill Weber  
2268 Fox Hound Pkwy.  
Marietta, GA 30062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Aaron White**

2405 W 2nd , Spokane, WA 99204

December 11, 2006 2:01 PM

Representative Cathy McMorris  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1708 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McMorris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Aaron White  
2405 W 2nd  
Spokane, WA 99204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Aaron White**

2405 W 2nd , Spokane, WA 99204

December 11, 2006 2:01 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Aaron White  
2405 W 2nd  
Spokane, WA 99204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Aaron White**

2405 W 2nd , Spokane, WA 99204

December 11, 2006 2:01 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Aaron White  
2405 W 2nd  
Spokane, WA 99204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Aaron White**

2405 W 2nd , Spokane, WA 99204

December 11, 2006 2:01 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Aaron White  
2405 W 2nd  
Spokane, WA 99204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Aaron White**

2405 W 2nd , Spokane, WA 99204

December 11, 2006 2:01 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Aaron White  
2405 W 2nd  
Spokane, WA 99204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Aaron White**

2405 W 2nd , Spokane, WA 99204

December 11, 2006 2:01 PM

Representative Cathy McMorris  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1708 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McMorris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Aaron White  
2405 W 2nd  
Spokane, WA 99204

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Stein**

1420 Centre Ave Apt 1702, Pittsburgh, PA 15219

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Representative Mike Doyle  
U.S. House of Representatives  
401 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doyle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Stein  
1420 Centre Ave  
Apt 1702  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Kieselburg**

1511 Birchwood Street , Delavan, WI 53115

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Kieselburg  
1511 Birchwood Street  
Delavan, WI 53115

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Kieselburg**

1511 Birchwood Street , Delavan, WI 53115

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Representative Paul Ryan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1113 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Kieselburg  
1511 Birchwood Street  
Delavan, WI 53115

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Stein**

1420 Centre Ave Apt 1702, Pittsburgh, PA 15219

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Stein  
1420 Centre Ave  
Apt 1702  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Kieselburg**

1511 Birchwood Street , Delavan, WI 53115

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Kieselburg  
1511 Birchwood Street  
Delavan, WI 53115

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Stein**

1420 Centre Ave Apt 1702, Pittsburgh, PA 15219

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Rick Santorum  
U.S. Senate  
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Stein  
1420 Centre Ave  
Apt 1702  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Stein**

1420 Centre Ave Apt 1702, Pittsburgh, PA 15219

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Stein  
1420 Centre Ave  
Apt 1702  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam Stein**

1420 Centre Ave Apt 1702, Pittsburgh, PA 15219

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Representative Mike Doyle  
U.S. House of Representatives  
401 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doyle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam Stein  
1420 Centre Ave  
Apt 1702  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Kieselburg**

1511 Birchwood Street , Delavan, WI 53115

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Kieselburg  
1511 Birchwood Street  
Delavan, WI 53115

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Kieselburg**

1511 Birchwood Street , Delavan, WI 53115

December 11, 2006 2:00 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Kieselburg  
1511 Birchwood Street  
Delavan, WI 53115

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elan Carlson**

216 West 14th Street , Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elan Carlson  
216 West 14th Street  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael T. Cooper**

6459 N. Pleasant Ave. , Fresno, CA 93711

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael T. Cooper  
6459 N. Pleasant Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael T. Cooper**

6459 N. Pleasant Ave. , Fresno, CA 93711

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Representative George Radanovich  
U.S. House of Representatives  
438 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael T. Cooper  
6459 N. Pleasant Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elan Carlson**

216 West 14th Street , Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elan Carlson  
216 West 14th Street  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elan Carlson**

216 West 14th Street , Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Representative Norm Dicks  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2467 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dicks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elan Carlson  
216 West 14th Street  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elan Carlson**

216 West 14th Street , Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elan Carlson  
216 West 14th Street  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elan Carlson**

216 West 14th Street , Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elan Carlson  
216 West 14th Street  
Port Angeles, WA 98362-7724

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael T. Cooper**

6459 N. Pleasant Ave. , Fresno, CA 93711

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael T. Cooper  
6459 N. Pleasant Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael T. Cooper**

6459 N. Pleasant Ave. , Fresno, CA 93711

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Representative George Radanovich  
U.S. House of Representatives  
438 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael T. Cooper  
6459 N. Pleasant Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael T. Cooper**

6459 N. Pleasant Ave. , Fresno, CA 93711

December 11, 2006 1:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael T. Cooper  
6459 N. Pleasant Ave.  
Fresno, CA 93711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Representative Duncan Hunter  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2265 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hunter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Representative Duncan Hunter  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2265 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hunter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bea Westrate**

4848 N. Winthrop, #506 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bea Westrate  
4848 N. Winthrop, #506  
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bea Westrate**

4848 N. Winthrop, #506 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bea Westrate  
4848 N. Winthrop, #506  
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bea Westrate**

4848 N. Winthrop, #506 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1027 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bea Westrate  
4848 N. Winthrop, #506  
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Bea Westrate**

4848 N. Winthrop, #506 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 1:55 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1027 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Bea Westrate  
4848 N. Winthrop, #506  
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jonathan payer**

23 melody lane , ivoryton, CT 06442

December 11, 2006 1:54 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman  
U.S. Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jonathan payer  
23 melody lane  
ivoryton, CT 06442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jonathan payer**

23 melody lane , ivoryton, CT 06442

December 11, 2006 1:54 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman  
U.S. Senate  
706 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jonathan payer  
23 melody lane  
ivoryton, CT 06442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jonathan payer**

23 melody lane , ivoryton, CT 06442

December 11, 2006 1:54 PM

Senator Chris Dodd  
U.S. Senate  
448 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jonathan payer  
23 melody lane  
ivoryton, CT 06442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jonathan payer**

23 melody lane , ivoryton, CT 06442

December 11, 2006 1:54 PM

Representative Rob Simmons  
U.S. House of Representatives  
215 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jonathan payer  
23 melody lane  
ivoryton, CT 06442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wolfe**

1225 Spaight St. , Madison, WI 53703

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wolfe  
1225 Spaight St.  
Madison, WI 53703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wolfe**

1225 Spaight St. , Madison, WI 53703

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wolfe  
1225 Spaight St.  
Madison, WI 53703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wolfe**

1225 Spaight St. , Madison, WI 53703

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1022 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wolfe  
1225 Spaight St.  
Madison, WI 53703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**B. Willey**

300 Crockett St. , Austin, TX 78704

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Representative Lloyd Doggett  
U.S. House of Representatives  
201 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doggett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
B. Willey  
300 Crockett St.  
Austin, TX 78704

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**B. Willey**

300 Crockett St. , Austin, TX 78704

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
B. Willey  
300 Crockett St.  
Austin, TX 78704

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**B. Willey**

300 Crockett St. , Austin, TX 78704

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Representative Lloyd Doggett  
U.S. House of Representatives  
201 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doggett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
B. Willey  
300 Crockett St.  
Austin, TX 78704

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wolfe**

1225 Spaight St. , Madison, WI 53703

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wolfe  
1225 Spaight St.  
Madison, WI 53703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Representative Duncan Hunter  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2265 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hunter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**B. Willey**

300 Crockett St. , Austin, TX 78704

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
B. Willey  
300 Crockett St.  
Austin, TX 78704

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Representative Duncan Hunter  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2265 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hunter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Veronica Clark**

PO Box 253 , Ramona, CA 92065

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Veronica Clark  
PO Box 253  
Ramona, CA 92065

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**B. Willey**

300 Crockett St. , Austin, TX 78704

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
B. Willey  
300 Crockett St.  
Austin, TX 78704

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wolfe**

1225 Spaight St. , Madison, WI 53703

December 11, 2006 1:53 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wolfe  
1225 Spaight St.  
Madison, WI 53703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brian shera**

76 nature lake road , liberty, NY 12754

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brian shera  
76 nature lake road  
liberty, NY 12754

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brian shera**

76 nature lake road , liberty, NY 12754

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2431 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brian shera  
76 nature lake road  
liberty, NY 12754

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brian shera**

76 nature lake road , liberty, NY 12754

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brian shera  
76 nature lake road  
liberty, NY 12754

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brian shera**

76 nature lake road , liberty, NY 12754

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brian shera  
76 nature lake road  
liberty, NY 12754

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brian shera**

76 nature lake road , liberty, NY 12754

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2431 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brian shera  
76 nature lake road  
liberty, NY 12754

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jonathan Bailey**

P.O. Box 142 , arthur, TN 37870

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander  
U.S. Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Bailey  
P.O. Box 142  
arthur, TN 37870

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jonathan Bailey**

P.O. Box 142 , arthur, TN 37870

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Bill Frist  
U.S. Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Bailey  
P.O. Box 142  
arthur, TN 37870

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jonathan Bailey**

P.O. Box 142 , arthur, TN 37870

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Representative Zach Wamp  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1436 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wamp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Bailey  
P.O. Box 142  
arthur, TN 37870

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jonathan Bailey**

P.O. Box 142 , arthur, TN 37870

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Bill Frist  
U.S. Senate  
509 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Bailey  
P.O. Box 142  
arthur, TN 37870

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jonathan Bailey**

P.O. Box 142 , arthur, TN 37870

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Representative Zach Wamp  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1436 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wamp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Bailey  
P.O. Box 142  
arthur, TN 37870

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jonathan Bailey**

P.O. Box 142 , arthur, TN 37870

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander  
U.S. Senate  
302 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Bailey  
P.O. Box 142  
arthur, TN 37870

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ruth Moriarty**

281 Chauncey Walker St. , Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Moriarty  
281 Chauncey Walker St.  
Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ruth Moriarty**

281 Chauncey Walker St. , Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Moriarty  
281 Chauncey Walker St.  
Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ruth Moriarty**

281 Chauncey Walker St. , Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Representative John Olver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Moriarty  
281 Chauncey Walker St.  
Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ruth Moriarty**

281 Chauncey Walker St. , Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Moriarty  
281 Chauncey Walker St.  
Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ruth Moriarty**

281 Chauncey Walker St. , Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

December 11, 2006 1:50 PM

Representative John Olver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ruth Moriarty  
281 Chauncey Walker St.  
Belchertown, MA 01007 9143

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Savannah Brewer**

PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy , Lee's Summit, MO 64063

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Christopher Bond  
U.S. Senate  
274 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Savannah Brewer  
PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy  
Lee's Summit, MO 64063

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Savannah Brewer**

PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy , Lee's Summit, MO 64063

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Savannah Brewer  
PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy  
Lee's Summit, MO 64063

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Savannah Brewer**

PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy , Lee's Summit, MO 64063

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative Emanuel Cleaver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1641 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cleaver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Savannah Brewer  
PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy  
Lee's Summit, MO 64063

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Savannah Brewer**

PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy , Lee's Summit, MO 64063

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Savannah Brewer  
PMB 195, 107 SE 291 Hwy  
Lee's Summit, MO 64063

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Recchie**

6338 Matilda Gage , Cicero, NY 13039

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative James Walsh  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Recchie  
6338 Matilda Gage  
Cicero, NY 13039

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Recchie**

6338 Matilda Gage , Cicero, NY 13039

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative James Walsh  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Recchie  
6338 Matilda Gage  
Cicero, NY 13039

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Recchie**

6338 Matilda Gage , Cicero, NY 13039

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Recchie  
6338 Matilda Gage  
Cicero, NY 13039

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Recchie**

6338 Matilda Gage , Cicero, NY 13039

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Recchie  
6338 Matilda Gage  
Cicero, NY 13039

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Ruvolo**

8841 Foster , Overland park, KS 66212

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ruvolo  
8841 Foster  
Overland park, KS 66212

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Ruvolo**

8841 Foster , Overland park, KS 66212

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ruvolo  
8841 Foster  
Overland park, KS 66212

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Ruvolo**

8841 Foster , Overland park, KS 66212

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative Dennis Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ruvolo  
8841 Foster  
Overland park, KS 66212

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Byron Bell**

1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6 , Atlanta, GA 30307

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Byron Bell  
1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6  
Atlanta, GA 30307

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Byron Bell**

1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6 , Atlanta, GA 30307

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Byron Bell  
1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6  
Atlanta, GA 30307

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Byron Bell**

1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6 , Atlanta, GA 30307

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative John Lewis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
343 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Byron Bell  
1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6  
Atlanta, GA 30307

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Byron Bell**

1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6 , Atlanta, GA 30307

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Byron Bell  
1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6  
Atlanta, GA 30307

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Byron Bell**

1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6 , Atlanta, GA 30307

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative John Lewis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
343 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Byron Bell  
1164 Alta Ave. NE, #6  
Atlanta, GA 30307

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Ruvolo**

8841 Foster , Overland park, KS 66212

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ruvolo  
8841 Foster  
Overland park, KS 66212

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Ruvolo**

8841 Foster , Overland park, KS 66212

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ruvolo  
8841 Foster  
Overland park, KS 66212

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Paul Ruvolo**

8841 Foster , Overland park, KS 66212

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Representative Dennis Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Paul Ruvolo  
8841 Foster  
Overland park, KS 66212

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Recchie**

6338 Matilda Gage , Cicero, NY 13039

December 11, 2006 1:48 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Recchie  
6338 Matilda Gage  
Cicero, NY 13039

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ken Jamison**

5036 Strasbourg Way , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Representative Dan Lungren  
U. S. House of Representatives  
2448 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lungren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jamison  
5036 Strasbourg Way  
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ken Jamison**

5036 Strasbourg Way , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Representative Dan Lungren  
U. S. House of Representatives  
2448 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lungren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jamison  
5036 Strasbourg Way  
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ken Jamison**

5036 Strasbourg Way , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jamison  
5036 Strasbourg Way  
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ken Jamison**

5036 Strasbourg Way , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jamison  
5036 Strasbourg Way  
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Ken Jamison**

5036 Strasbourg Way , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Ken Jamison  
5036 Strasbourg Way  
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Devine**

1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7 , Niceville, FL 32578

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Representative Jeff Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
324 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Devine  
1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7  
Niceville, FL 32578

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Devine**

1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7 , Niceville, FL 32578

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Devine  
1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7  
Niceville, FL 32578

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## David Devine

1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7 , Niceville, FL 32578

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Devine  
1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7  
Niceville, FL 32578

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Devine**

1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7 , Niceville, FL 32578

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Devine  
1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7  
Niceville, FL 32578

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## David Devine

1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7 , Niceville, FL 32578

December 11, 2006 1:46 PM

Representative Jeff Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
324 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Devine  
1015 N.Partin Dr. Lot #7  
Niceville, FL 32578

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Casey Murray**

2896 County Rd J , Verona, WI 53593

December 11, 2006 1:44 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Casey Murray  
2896 County Rd J  
Verona, WI 53593

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Casey Murray**

2896 County Rd J , Verona, WI 53593

December 11, 2006 1:44 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Casey Murray  
2896 County Rd J  
Verona, WI 53593

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Casey Murray**

2896 County Rd J , Verona, WI 53593

December 11, 2006 1:44 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1022 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Casey Murray  
2896 County Rd J  
Verona, WI 53593

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Casey Murray**

2896 County Rd J , Verona, WI 53593

December 11, 2006 1:44 PM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Casey Murray  
2896 County Rd J  
Verona, WI 53593

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Casey Murray**

2896 County Rd J , Verona, WI 53593

December 11, 2006 1:44 PM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Casey Murray  
2896 County Rd J  
Verona, WI 53593

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Hocter**

2121 Quail Run , McKinney, TX 75071

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Representative Ralph Hall  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2405 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Hocter  
2121 Quail Run  
McKinney, TX 75071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Hocter**

2121 Quail Run , McKinney, TX 75071

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Hocter  
2121 Quail Run  
McKinney, TX 75071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Hocter**

2121 Quail Run , McKinney, TX 75071

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Hocter  
2121 Quail Run  
McKinney, TX 75071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Amy Donaldson**

878 W End Ave Apt. 16C , New York, NY 10025-4957

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Representative Charles Rangel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Amy Donaldson  
878 W End Ave Apt. 16C  
New York, NY 10025-4957

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Hocter**

2121 Quail Run , McKinney, TX 75071

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Hocter  
2121 Quail Run  
McKinney, TX 75071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Amy Donaldson**

878 W End Ave Apt. 16C , New York, NY 10025-4957

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Amy Donaldson  
878 W End Ave Apt. 16C  
New York, NY 10025-4957

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Amy Donaldson**

878 W End Ave Apt. 16C , New York, NY 10025-4957

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Amy Donaldson  
878 W End Ave Apt. 16C  
New York, NY 10025-4957

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Amy Donaldson**

878 W End Ave Apt. 16C , New York, NY 10025-4957

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Representative Charles Rangel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Amy Donaldson  
878 W End Ave Apt. 16C  
New York, NY 10025-4957

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Amy Donaldson**

878 W End Ave Apt. 16C , New York, NY 10025-4957

December 11, 2006 1:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Amy Donaldson  
878 W End Ave Apt. 16C  
New York, NY 10025-4957

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**DeeAnn Birkel**

po Box 226 309 N Market ST, Brady,, NE 69123

December 11, 2006 1:40 PM

Representative Tom Osborne  
U.S. House of Representatives  
507 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Osborne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
DeeAnn Birkel  
po Box 226  
309 N Market ST  
Brady,, NE 69123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**DeeAnn Birkel**

po Box 226 309 N Market ST, Brady,, NE 69123

December 11, 2006 1:40 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel  
U.S. Senate  
248 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
DeeAnn Birkel  
po Box 226  
309 N Market ST  
Brady,, NE 69123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**DeeAnn Birkel**

po Box 226 309 N Market ST, Brady,, NE 69123

December 11, 2006 1:40 PM

Senator Ben Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
720 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
DeeAnn Birkel  
po Box 226  
309 N Market ST  
Brady,, NE 69123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**DeeAnn Birkel**

po Box 226 309 N Market ST, Brady,, NE 69123

December 11, 2006 1:40 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel  
U.S. Senate  
248 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
DeeAnn Birkel  
po Box 226  
309 N Market ST  
Brady,, NE 69123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**DeeAnn Birkel**

po Box 226 309 N Market ST, Brady,, NE 69123

December 11, 2006 1:40 PM

Representative Tom Osborne  
U.S. House of Representatives  
507 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Osborne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
DeeAnn Birkel  
po Box 226  
309 N Market ST  
Brady,, NE 69123

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elizabeth Heidt**

1632 Live Oak Way , Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

December 11, 2006 1:37 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Heidt  
1632 Live Oak Way  
Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elizabeth Heidt**

1632 Live Oak Way , Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

December 11, 2006 1:37 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Heidt  
1632 Live Oak Way  
Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elizabeth Heidt**

1632 Live Oak Way , Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

December 11, 2006 1:37 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Heidt  
1632 Live Oak Way  
Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Elizabeth Heidt**

1632 Live Oak Way , Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

December 11, 2006 1:37 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1034 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Heidt  
1632 Live Oak Way  
Wanut Creek, CA 94596-4221

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**anne katz**

491 tow path road , high falls, NY 12440

December 11, 2006 1:36 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

sincerely , anne katz 491 tow path road high falls, ny 12440

Sincerely,  
anne katz  
491 tow path road  
high falls, NY 12440

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**anne katz**

491 tow path road , high falls, NY 12440

December 11, 2006 1:36 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2431 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

sincerely , anne katz 491 tow path road high falls, ny 12440

Sincerely,  
anne katz  
491 tow path road  
high falls, NY 12440

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**anne katz**

491 tow path road , high falls, NY 12440

December 11, 2006 1:36 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

sincerely , anne katz 491 tow path road high falls, ny 12440

Sincerely,  
anne katz  
491 tow path road  
high falls, NY 12440

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**anne katz**

491 tow path road , high falls, NY 12440

December 11, 2006 1:36 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

sincerely , anne katz 491 tow path road high falls, ny 12440

Sincerely,  
anne katz  
491 tow path road  
high falls, NY 12440

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**anne katz**

491 tow path road , high falls, NY 12440

December 11, 2006 1:36 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2431 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

sincerely , anne katz 491 tow path road high falls, ny 12440

Sincerely,  
anne katz  
491 tow path road  
high falls, NY 12440

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremy norman**

5940 dean rd , oviado, FL 32765

December 11, 2006 1:33 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremy norman  
5940 dean rd  
oviedo, FL 32765

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremy norman**

5940 dean rd , oviado, FL 32765

December 11, 2006 1:33 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremy norman  
5940 dean rd  
oviedo, FL 32765

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremy norman**

5940 dean rd , oviado, FL 32765

December 11, 2006 1:33 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremy norman  
5940 dean rd  
oviedo, FL 32765

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremy norman**

5940 dean rd , oviado, FL 32765

December 11, 2006 1:33 PM

Representative Tom Feeney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
323 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Feeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremy norman  
5940 dean rd  
oviedo, FL 32765

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremy norman**

5940 dean rd , oviado, FL 32765

December 11, 2006 1:33 PM

Representative Tom Feeney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
323 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Feeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremy norman  
5940 dean rd  
oviedo, FL 32765

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Scates**

237 Windsor Street , Marion, OH 43302

December 11, 2006 1:32 PM

Representative Michael Oxley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2308 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Oxley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Scates  
237 Windsor Street  
Marion, OH 43302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Scates**

237 Windsor Street , Marion, OH 43302

December 11, 2006 1:32 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Scates  
237 Windsor Street  
Marion, OH 43302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Scates**

237 Windsor Street , Marion, OH 43302

December 11, 2006 1:32 PM

Senator George Voinovich  
U.S. Senate  
524 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Scates  
237 Windsor Street  
Marion, OH 43302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Scates**

237 Windsor Street , Marion, OH 43302

December 11, 2006 1:32 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Scates  
237 Windsor Street  
Marion, OH 43302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Scates**

237 Windsor Street , Marion, OH 43302

December 11, 2006 1:32 PM

Representative Michael Oxley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2308 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Oxley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
James Scates  
237 Windsor Street  
Marion, OH 43302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Moon**

1380 Gap Creek Rd , Lyman, SC 29365

December 11, 2006 1:31 PM

Representative Bob Inglis  
U. S. House of Representatives  
330 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inglis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Moon  
1380 Gap Creek Rd  
Lyman, SC 29365

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Moon**

1380 Gap Creek Rd , Lyman, SC 29365

December 11, 2006 1:31 PM

Senator Jim Demint  
U. S. Senate  
340 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Moon  
1380 Gap Creek Rd  
Lyman, SC 29365

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Moon**

1380 Gap Creek Rd , Lyman, SC 29365

December 11, 2006 1:31 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham  
U.S. Senate  
290 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Moon  
1380 Gap Creek Rd  
Lyman, SC 29365

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Moon**

1380 Gap Creek Rd , Lyman, SC 29365

December 11, 2006 1:31 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham  
U.S. Senate  
290 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Moon  
1380 Gap Creek Rd  
Lyman, SC 29365

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Moon**

1380 Gap Creek Rd , Lyman, SC 29365

December 11, 2006 1:31 PM

Representative Bob Inglis  
U. S. House of Representatives  
330 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inglis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Moon  
1380 Gap Creek Rd  
Lyman, SC 29365

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary Truchelut**

2841 NW 7 Ave , Wilton Manors, FL 33311-2426

December 11, 2006 1:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC ethics scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. I applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

The four remaining FCC commissioners are evenly split on the merger conditions, but rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Mr. McDowell to cast the deciding vote is unprecedented and unethical. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest is not served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to Mr. Martin, U.S. representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

The future of the Internet is at stake, as is the public's confidence in the ethics of government. There are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. Congress should hold

Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary Truchelut  
2841 NW 7 Ave  
Wilton Manors, FL 33311-2426

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary Truchelut**

2841 NW 7 Ave , Wilton Manors, FL 33311-2426

December 11, 2006 1:29 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC ethics scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. I applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

The four remaining FCC commissioners are evenly split on the merger conditions, but rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Mr. McDowell to cast the deciding vote is unprecedented and unethical. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest is not served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to Mr. Martin, U.S. representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

The future of the Internet is at stake, as is the public's confidence in the ethics of government. There are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. Congress should hold

Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary Truchelut  
2841 NW 7 Ave  
Wilton Manors, FL 33311-2426

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mary Truchelut**

2841 NW 7 Ave , Wilton Manors, FL 33311-2426

December 11, 2006 1:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC ethics scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. I applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

The four remaining FCC commissioners are evenly split on the merger conditions, but rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Mr. McDowell to cast the deciding vote is unprecedented and unethical. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest is not served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to Mr. Martin, U.S. representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

The future of the Internet is at stake, as is the public's confidence in the ethics of government. There are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. Congress should hold

Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mary Truchelut  
2841 NW 7 Ave  
Wilton Manors, FL 33311-2426

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jessica Howard**

2118 Cynthia Lane , Shreveport, LA 71118

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator Mary Landrieu  
U.S. Senate  
724 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Landrieu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Howard  
2118 Cynthia Lane  
Shreveport, LA 71118

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jessica Howard**

2118 Cynthia Lane , Shreveport, LA 71118

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Representative Jim McCrery  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2104 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McCrery,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Howard  
2118 Cynthia Lane  
Shreveport, LA 71118

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jessica Howard**

2118 Cynthia Lane , Shreveport, LA 71118

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator David Vitter  
U.S. Senate  
516 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Vitter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Howard  
2118 Cynthia Lane  
Shreveport, LA 71118

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jessica Howard**

2118 Cynthia Lane , Shreveport, LA 71118

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator Mary Landrieu  
U.S. Senate  
724 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Landrieu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Howard  
2118 Cynthia Lane  
Shreveport, LA 71118

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jessica Howard**

2118 Cynthia Lane , Shreveport, LA 71118

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator David Vitter  
U.S. Senate  
516 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Vitter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Howard  
2118 Cynthia Lane  
Shreveport, LA 71118

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Turino**

59 Old Dublin Rd. , Keene, NH 03449

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator Judd Gregg  
U.S. Senate  
393 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Turino  
59 Old Dublin Rd.  
Keene, NH 03449

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Turino**

59 Old Dublin Rd. , Keene, NH 03449

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator John Sununu  
U.S. Senate  
111 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Turino  
59 Old Dublin Rd.  
Keene, NH 03449

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Turino**

59 Old Dublin Rd. , Keene, NH 03449

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Representative Charles Bass  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2421 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bass,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Turino  
59 Old Dublin Rd.  
Keene, NH 03449

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jessica Howard**

2118 Cynthia Lane , Shreveport, LA 71118

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Representative Jim McCrery  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2104 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McCrery,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Howard  
2118 Cynthia Lane  
Shreveport, LA 71118

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Turino**

59 Old Dublin Rd. , Keene, NH 03449

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Senator John Sununu  
U.S. Senate  
111 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Turino  
59 Old Dublin Rd.  
Keene, NH 03449

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Turino**

59 Old Dublin Rd. , Keene, NH 03449

December 11, 2006 1:28 PM

Representative Charles Bass  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2421 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bass,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Turino  
59 Old Dublin Rd.  
Keene, NH 03449

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Angela Trsek**

8891 Cottonwood Lane , Parma, OH 44129

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Representative Dennis Kucinich  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1730 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kucinich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Angela Trsek  
8891 Cottonwood Lane  
Parma, OH 44129

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Angela Trsek**

8891 Cottonwood Lane , Parma, OH 44129

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator George Voinovich  
U.S. Senate  
524 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Angela Trsek  
8891 Cottonwood Lane  
Parma, OH 44129

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Ballou**

1725 Albans Rd. , Houston, TX 77005

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Ballou  
1725 Albans Rd.  
Houston, TX 77005

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Ballou**

1725 Albans Rd. , Houston, TX 77005

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Ballou  
1725 Albans Rd.  
Houston, TX 77005

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**T M Thacker**

115 Tartan Drive , Lexington, KY 40517

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
T M Thacker  
115 Tartan Drive  
Lexington, KY 40517

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**T M Thacker**

115 Tartan Drive , Lexington, KY 40517

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Jim Bunning  
U.S. Senate  
316 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
T M Thacker  
115 Tartan Drive  
Lexington, KY 40517

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Angela Trsek**

8891 Cottonwood Lane , Parma, OH 44129

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Angela Trsek  
8891 Cottonwood Lane  
Parma, OH 44129

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Ballou**

1725 Albans Rd. , Houston, TX 77005

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Representative John Culberson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1728 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Culberson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Ballou  
1725 Albans Rd.  
Houston, TX 77005

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**T M Thacker**

115 Tartan Drive , Lexington, KY 40517

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Representative Ben Chandler  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1504 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
T M Thacker  
115 Tartan Drive  
Lexington, KY 40517

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**T M Thacker**

115 Tartan Drive , Lexington, KY 40517

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
T M Thacker  
115 Tartan Drive  
Lexington, KY 40517

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**T M Thacker**

115 Tartan Drive , Lexington, KY 40517

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Representative Ben Chandler  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1504 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
T M Thacker  
115 Tartan Drive  
Lexington, KY 40517

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Angela Trsek**

8891 Cottonwood Lane , Parma, OH 44129

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Angela Trsek  
8891 Cottonwood Lane  
Parma, OH 44129

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Angela Trsek**

8891 Cottonwood Lane , Parma, OH 44129

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Representative Dennis Kucinich  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1730 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kucinich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Angela Trsek  
8891 Cottonwood Lane  
Parma, OH 44129

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Ballou**

1725 Albans Rd. , Houston, TX 77005

December 11, 2006 1:27 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Ballou  
1725 Albans Rd.  
Houston, TX 77005

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Hyland**

27 Woodcrest Drive , Glenville, NY 12302

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Representative Michael McNulty  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Hyland  
27 Woodcrest Drive  
Glenville, NY 12302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Hyland**

27 Woodcrest Drive , Glenville, NY 12302

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Hyland  
27 Woodcrest Drive  
Glenville, NY 12302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Hyland**

27 Woodcrest Drive , Glenville, NY 12302

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Hyland  
27 Woodcrest Drive  
Glenville, NY 12302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Hyland**

27 Woodcrest Drive , Glenville, NY 12302

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Hyland  
27 Woodcrest Drive  
Glenville, NY 12302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Hyland**

27 Woodcrest Drive , Glenville, NY 12302

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Representative Michael McNulty  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Hyland  
27 Woodcrest Drive  
Glenville, NY 12302

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Westcott**

2739 Bush Street , San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Westcott  
2739 Bush Street  
San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Westcott**

2739 Bush Street , San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2371 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Westcott  
2739 Bush Street  
San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Westcott**

2739 Bush Street , San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Westcott  
2739 Bush Street  
San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Westcott**

2739 Bush Street , San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2371 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Westcott  
2739 Bush Street  
San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Westcott**

2739 Bush Street , San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

December 11, 2006 1:24 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Westcott  
2739 Bush Street  
San Francisco, CA 94115-2977

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Dan Watman**

2362 servando Ave , SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Representative Bob Filner  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2428 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Filner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dan Watman  
2362 servando AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Zillhardt**

895 Walnut street apartment D11, Catasauqua, PA 18032

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Senator Rick Santorum  
U.S. Senate  
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Zillhardt  
895 Walnut street  
apartment D11  
Catasauqua, PA 18032

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Zillhardt**

895 Walnut street apartment D11, Catasauqua, PA 18032

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Representative Charles Dent  
U.S. House of Representatives  
502 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Zillhardt  
895 Walnut street  
apartment D11  
Catasauqua, PA 18032

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Zillhardt**

895 Walnut street apartment D11, Catasauqua, PA 18032

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Zillhardt  
895 Walnut street  
apartment D11  
Catasauqua, PA 18032

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Zillhardt**

895 Walnut street apartment D11, Catasauqua, PA 18032

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Zillhardt  
895 Walnut street  
apartment D11  
Catasauqua, PA 18032

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Dan Watman**

2362 servando Ave , SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dan Watman  
2362 servando AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Dan Watman**

2362 servando Ave , SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dan Watman  
2362 servando AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Dan Watman**

2362 servando AVe , SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Representative Bob Filner  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2428 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Filner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dan Watman  
2362 servando AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Dan Watman**

2362 servando AVe , SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

December 11, 2006 1:23 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Dan Watman  
2362 servando AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92154

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Walden**

1945 W 22nd Pl , Chicago, IL 60608-4205

December 11, 2006 1:21 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Walden  
1945 W 22nd Pl  
Chicago, IL 60608-4205

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Walden**

1945 W 22nd Pl , Chicago, IL 60608-4205

December 11, 2006 1:21 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Walden  
1945 W 22nd Pl  
Chicago, IL 60608-4205

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Dalmat**

5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd , Orlando, FL 32818

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Representative Ric Keller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
419 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Keller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Dalmat  
5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd  
Orlando, FL 32818

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Dalmat**

5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd , Orlando, FL 32818

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Dalmat  
5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd  
Orlando, FL 32818

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Dalmat**

5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd , Orlando, FL 32818

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Dalmat  
5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd  
Orlando, FL 32818

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Dalmat**

5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd , Orlando, FL 32818

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Dalmat  
5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd  
Orlando, FL 32818

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**suzanne lindgren**

2719 harriet ave , minneapolis, MN 55408

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Representative Martin Sabo  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2336 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sabo,

Please don't let FCC chairman Kevin Martin abuse his power as explained in the case cited below (written by Free Press). Besides the blatant lapse of ethics that Martin hopes to engage in, the result of his actions could lead to the elimination of the internet as a truly public forum. This is an important moment in history, worthy of your attention and action.

Thank you!  
Suzanne Lindgren

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the

future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
suzanne lindgren  
2719 harriet ave  
minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**suzanne lindgren**

2719 harriet ave , minneapolis, MN 55408

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

Please don't let FCC chairman Kevin Martin abuse his power as explained in the case cited below (written by Free Press). Besides the blatant lapse of ethics that Martin hopes to engage in, the result of his actions could lead to the elimination of the internet as a truly public forum. This is an important moment in history, worthy of your attention and action.

Thank you!  
Suzanne Lindgren

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the

future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
suzanne lindgren  
2719 harriet ave  
minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Shaun Reno**

11133 Big Bend Blvd , Saint Louis, MO 63122

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Shaun Reno  
11133 Big Bend Blvd  
Saint Louis, MO 63122

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Shaun Reno**

11133 Big Bend Blvd , Saint Louis, MO 63122

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Christopher Bond  
U.S. Senate  
274 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Shaun Reno  
11133 Big Bend Blvd  
Saint Louis, MO 63122

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**suzanne lindgren**

2719 harriet ave , minneapolis, MN 55408

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Mark Dayton  
U.S. Senate  
123 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

Please don't let FCC chairman Kevin Martin abuse his power as explained in the case cited below (written by Free Press). Besides the blatant lapse of ethics that Martin hopes to engage in, the result of his actions could lead to the elimination of the internet as a truly public forum. This is an important moment in history, worthy of your attention and action.

Thank you!  
Suzanne Lindgren

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the

future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
suzanne lindgren  
2719 harriet ave  
minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Dalmat**

5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd , Orlando, FL 32818

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Representative Ric Keller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
419 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Keller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Dalmat  
5302 N Apopka Vineland Rd  
Orlando, FL 32818

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**suzanne lindgren**

2719 harriet ave , minneapolis, MN 55408

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

Please don't let FCC chairman Kevin Martin abuse his power as explained in the case cited below (written by Free Press). Besides the blatant lapse of ethics that Martin hopes to engage in, the result of his actions could lead to the elimination of the internet as a truly public forum. This is an important moment in history, worthy of your attention and action.

Thank you!  
Suzanne Lindgren

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the

future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
suzanne lindgren  
2719 harriet ave  
minneapolis, MN 55408

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Shaun Reno**

11133 Big Bend Blvd , Saint Louis, MO 63122

December 11, 2006 1:20 PM

Senator Jim Talent  
U.S. Senate  
493 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Shaun Reno  
11133 Big Bend Blvd  
Saint Louis, MO 63122

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Karen Hoth**

1144 1st Ave W , Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

December 11, 2006 1:19 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg  
U.S. House of Representatives  
516 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hoth  
1144 1st Ave W  
Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Karen Hoth**

1144 1st Ave W , Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

December 11, 2006 1:19 PM

Senator Conrad Burns  
U.S. Senate  
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hoth  
1144 1st Ave W  
Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Karen Hoth**

1144 1st Ave W , Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

December 11, 2006 1:19 PM

Senator Max Baucus  
U.S. Senate  
511 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hoth  
1144 1st Ave W  
Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Karen Hoth**

1144 1st Ave W , Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

December 11, 2006 1:19 PM

Senator Conrad Burns  
U.S. Senate  
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hoth  
1144 1st Ave W  
Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Karen Hoth**

1144 1st Ave W , Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

December 11, 2006 1:19 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg  
U.S. House of Representatives  
516 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Karen Hoth  
1144 1st Ave W  
Kalispell, MT 59901-5610

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Geoffrey Neill

1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Neill  
1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204  
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Geoffrey Neill

1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Representative Doris Matsui  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2310 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matsui,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Neill  
1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204  
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Geoffrey Neill

1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Representative Doris Matsui  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2310 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matsui,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Neill  
1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204  
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Geoffrey Neill

1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Neill  
1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204  
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Geoffrey Neill

1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Geoffrey Neill  
1412 1/2 16th St, Apt 204  
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Robert Griffith**

12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004 , Ft. Myers, FL 33907

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Representative Connie Mack  
U.S. House of Representatives  
317 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Mack,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Griffith  
12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004  
Ft. Myers, FL 33907

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Robert Griffith**

12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004 , Ft. Myers, FL 33907

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Griffith  
12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004  
Ft. Myers, FL 33907

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Robert Griffith**

12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004 , Ft. Myers, FL 33907

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Griffith  
12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004  
Ft. Myers, FL 33907

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Robert Griffith**

12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004 , Ft. Myers, FL 33907

December 11, 2006 1:15 PM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Griffith  
12750 Equestrian Cir. Apt#3004  
Ft. Myers, FL 33907

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**geoffrey miller**

8717 2395 e st , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 1:11 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
geoffrey miller  
8717 2395 e st  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**geoffrey miller**

8717 2395 e st , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 1:11 PM

Representative Jerry Weller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
108 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
geoffrey miller  
8717 2395 e st  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**geoffrey miller**

8717 2395 e st , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 1:11 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
geoffrey miller  
8717 2395 e st  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**geoffrey miller**

8717 2395 e st , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 1:11 PM

Representative Jerry Weller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
108 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
geoffrey miller  
8717 2395 e st  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Laurie Burke**

PO Box 216 , Whitinsville, MA 01588

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Representative Richard Neal  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2266 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Burke  
PO Box 216  
Whitinsville, MA 01588

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Boorsma**

513 E. 11th St. , Duluth, MN 55805-1319

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Boorsma  
513 E. 11th St.  
Duluth, MN 55805-1319

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Laurie Burke**

PO Box 216 , Whitinsville, MA 01588

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Burke  
PO Box 216  
Whitinsville, MA 01588

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Boorsma**

513 E. 11th St. , Duluth, MN 55805-1319

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Senator Mark Dayton  
U.S. Senate  
123 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Boorsma  
513 E. 11th St.  
Duluth, MN 55805-1319

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Laurie Burke**

PO Box 216 , Whitinsville, MA 01588

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Burke  
PO Box 216  
Whitinsville, MA 01588

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Boorsma**

513 E. 11th St. , Duluth, MN 55805-1319

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Boorsma  
513 E. 11th St.  
Duluth, MN 55805-1319

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Laurie Burke**

PO Box 216 , Whitinsville, MA 01588

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Burke  
PO Box 216  
Whitinsville, MA 01588

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Laurie Burke**

PO Box 216 , Whitinsville, MA 01588

December 11, 2006 1:10 PM

Representative Richard Neal  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2266 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Laurie Burke  
PO Box 216  
Whitinsville, MA 01588

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Christopher Conner**

784 Laurel Walk #B , Goleta, CA 93117

December 11, 2006 1:08 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Conner  
784 Laurel Walk #B  
Goleta, CA 93117

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Christopher Conner**

784 Laurel Walk #B , Goleta, CA 93117

December 11, 2006 1:08 PM

Representative Lois Capps  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1707 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Conner  
784 Laurel Walk #B  
Goleta, CA 93117

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Christopher Conner**

784 Laurel Walk #B , Goleta, CA 93117

December 11, 2006 1:08 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Conner  
784 Laurel Walk #B  
Goleta, CA 93117

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Conner**

784 Laurel Walk #B , Goleta, CA 93117

December 11, 2006 1:08 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Conner  
784 Laurel Walk #B  
Goleta, CA 93117

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Christopher Conner**

784 Laurel Walk #B , Goleta, CA 93117

December 11, 2006 1:08 PM

Representative Lois Capps  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1707 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Conner  
784 Laurel Walk #B  
Goleta, CA 93117

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mattew bingham**

1645 dunster pl , mobile, AL 36613

December 11, 2006 1:06 PM

Senator Richard Shelby  
U.S. Senate  
110 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mattew bingham  
1645 dunster pl  
mobile, AL 36613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**matthew bingham**

1645 dunster pl , mobile, AL 36613

December 11, 2006 1:06 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions  
U.S. Senate  
335 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mattew bingham  
1645 dunster pl  
mobile, AL 36613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mattew bingham**

1645 dunster pl , mobile, AL 36613

December 11, 2006 1:06 PM

Senator Richard Shelby  
U.S. Senate  
110 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mattew bingham  
1645 dunster pl  
mobile, AL 36613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**matthew bingham**

1645 dunster pl , mobile, AL 36613

December 11, 2006 1:06 PM

Representative Jo Bonner  
U.S. House of Representatives  
315 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mattew bingham  
1645 dunster pl  
mobile, AL 36613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Walling**

1918 NW 31st , Portland, OR 97210

December 11, 2006 1:05 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Walling  
1918 NW 31st  
Portland, OR 97210

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Walling**

1918 NW 31st , Portland, OR 97210

December 11, 2006 1:05 PM

Representative David Wu  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1023 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Walling  
1918 NW 31st  
Portland, OR 97210

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Walling**

1918 NW 31st , Portland, OR 97210

December 11, 2006 1:05 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Walling  
1918 NW 31st  
Portland, OR 97210

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Walling**

1918 NW 31st , Portland, OR 97210

December 11, 2006 1:05 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Walling  
1918 NW 31st  
Portland, OR 97210

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Walling**

1918 NW 31st , Portland, OR 97210

December 11, 2006 1:05 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Walling  
1918 NW 31st  
Portland, OR 97210

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Walling**

1918 NW 31st , Portland, OR 97210

December 11, 2006 1:05 PM

Representative David Wu  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1023 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Walling  
1918 NW 31st  
Portland, OR 97210

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**RuthAnne Dayton**

310 Lovers Ln. , Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

December 11, 2006 1:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
RuthAnne Dayton  
310 Lovers Ln.  
Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**RuthAnne Dayton**

310 Lovers Ln. , Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

December 11, 2006 1:04 PM

Representative George Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2205 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
RuthAnne Dayton  
310 Lovers Ln.  
Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**RuthAnne Dayton**

310 Lovers Ln. , Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

December 11, 2006 1:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
RuthAnne Dayton  
310 Lovers Ln.  
Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**RuthAnne Dayton**

310 Lovers Ln. , Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

December 11, 2006 1:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
RuthAnne Dayton  
310 Lovers Ln.  
Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**RuthAnne Dayton**

310 Lovers Ln. , Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

December 11, 2006 1:04 PM

Representative George Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2205 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
RuthAnne Dayton  
310 Lovers Ln.  
Vacaville, CA 95688-4321

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jose Nogueras**

5904 Tipperary St , Las Vegas, NV 89130

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Representative Shelley Berkley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
439 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berkley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jose Nogueras  
5904 Tipperary St  
Las Vegas, NV 89130

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Young**

PO Box 13153 , Edwardsville, KS 66113

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Young  
PO Box 13153  
Edwardsville, KS 66113

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Young**

PO Box 13153 , Edwardsville, KS 66113

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Representative Dennis Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Young  
PO Box 13153  
Edwardsville, KS 66113

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jose Nogueras**

5904 Tipperary St , Las Vegas, NV 89130

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator John Ensign  
U.S. Senate  
356 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jose Noguera  
5904 Tipperary St  
Las Vegas, NV 89130

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Young**

PO Box 13153 , Edwardsville, KS 66113

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Young  
PO Box 13153  
Edwardsville, KS 66113

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Young**

PO Box 13153 , Edwardsville, KS 66113

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Young  
PO Box 13153  
Edwardsville, KS 66113

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Young**

PO Box 13153 , Edwardsville, KS 66113

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Young  
PO Box 13153  
Edwardsville, KS 66113

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mark Young**

PO Box 13153 , Edwardsville, KS 66113

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Representative Dennis Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mark Young  
PO Box 13153  
Edwardsville, KS 66113

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jose Nogueras**

5904 Tipperary St , Las Vegas, NV 89130

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator Harry Reid  
U.S. Senate  
528 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jose Nogueras  
5904 Tipperary St  
Las Vegas, NV 89130

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jose Nogueras**

5904 Tipperary St , Las Vegas, NV 89130

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Senator Harry Reid  
U.S. Senate  
528 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jose Nogueras  
5904 Tipperary St  
Las Vegas, NV 89130

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jose Nogueras**

5904 Tipperary St , Las Vegas, NV 89130

December 11, 2006 1:02 PM

Representative Shelley Berkley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
439 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berkley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jose Nogueras  
5904 Tipperary St  
Las Vegas, NV 89130

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Davey**

16307 Villa Drive , Victorville, CA 92395-4015

December 11, 2006 1:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Davey  
16307 Villa Drive  
Victorville, CA 92395-4015

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Davey**

16307 Villa Drive , Victorville, CA 92395-4015

December 11, 2006 1:01 PM

Representative Buck McKeon  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2351 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKeon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Davey  
16307 Villa Drive  
Victorville, CA 92395-4015

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Davey**

16307 Villa Drive , Victorville, CA 92395-4015

December 11, 2006 1:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Davey  
16307 Villa Drive  
Victorville, CA 92395-4015

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Davey**

16307 Villa Drive , Victorville, CA 92395-4015

December 11, 2006 1:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Davey  
16307 Villa Drive  
Victorville, CA 92395-4015

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Thomas Davey**

16307 Villa Drive , Victorville, CA 92395-4015

December 11, 2006 1:01 PM

Representative Buck McKeon  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2351 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKeon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Davey  
16307 Villa Drive  
Victorville, CA 92395-4015

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Murphy**

362 Pitman Ave. , Pitman, NJ 08071

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Murphy  
362 Pitman Ave.  
Pitman, NJ 08071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francis Kearney**

33 Cranberry Ct , Medford, NJ 08055

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francis Kearney  
33 Cranberry Ct  
Medford, NJ 08055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francis Kearney**

33 Cranberry Ct , Medford, NJ 08055

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francis Kearney  
33 Cranberry Ct  
Medford, NJ 08055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Murphy**

362 Pitman Ave. , Pitman, NJ 08071

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Representative Frank LoBiondo  
U.S. House of Representatives  
225 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative LoBiondo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Murphy  
362 Pitman Ave.  
Pitman, NJ 08071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Murphy**

362 Pitman Ave. , Pitman, NJ 08071

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Murphy  
362 Pitman Ave.  
Pitman, NJ 08071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francis Kearney**

33 Cranberry Ct , Medford, NJ 08055

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Representative Jim Saxton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2217 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francis Kearney  
33 Cranberry Ct  
Medford, NJ 08055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francis Kearney**

33 Cranberry Ct , Medford, NJ 08055

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francis Kearney  
33 Cranberry Ct  
Medford, NJ 08055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francis Kearney**

33 Cranberry Ct , Medford, NJ 08055

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francis Kearney  
33 Cranberry Ct  
Medford, NJ 08055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Francis Kearney**

33 Cranberry Ct , Medford, NJ 08055

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Representative Jim Saxton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2217 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Francis Kearney  
33 Cranberry Ct  
Medford, NJ 08055

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Murphy**

362 Pitman Ave. , Pitman, NJ 08071

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg  
U.S. Senate  
324 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Murphy  
362 Pitman Ave.  
Pitman, NJ 08071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kathleen Murphy**

362 Pitman Ave. , Pitman, NJ 08071

December 11, 2006 12:59 PM

Senator Robert Menendez  
U.S. Senate  
502 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kathleen Murphy  
362 Pitman Ave.  
Pitman, NJ 08071

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Charlie Cummings**

5209B Beach Dr , bremerton, WA 98312

December 11, 2006 12:58 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Cummings  
5209B Beach Dr  
Bremerton, WA 98312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Charlie Cummings**

5209B Beach Dr , bremerton, WA 98312

December 11, 2006 12:58 PM

Representative Norm Dicks  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2467 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dicks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Cummings  
5209B Beach Dr  
Bremerton, WA 98312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Charlie Cummings**

5209B Beach Dr , bremerton, WA 98312

December 11, 2006 12:58 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Cummings  
5209B Beach Dr  
Bremerton, WA 98312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Charlie Cummings**

5209B Beach Dr , bremerton, WA 98312

December 11, 2006 12:58 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Cummings  
5209B Beach Dr  
Bremerton, WA 98312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Charlie Cummings**

5209B Beach Dr , bremerton, WA 98312

December 11, 2006 12:58 PM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlie Cummings  
5209B Beach Dr  
Bremerton, WA 98312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Stoyka Chipchakova**

13 Mart Str. No.8 , Sofia, AS 1000 Bulgaria

December 11, 2006 12:57 PM

Delegate Eni Faleomavaega  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2422 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Faleomavaega,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Stoyka Chipchakova  
13 Mart Str. No.8  
Sofia, AS 1000

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alex Levine**

7744 montero dr. , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 11, 2006 12:57 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alex Levine  
7744 montero dr.  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alex Levine**

7744 montero dr. , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 11, 2006 12:57 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2263 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alex Levine  
7744 montero dr.  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alex Levine**

7744 montero dr. , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 11, 2006 12:57 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alex Levine  
7744 montero dr.  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Alex Levine**

7744 montero dr. , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 11, 2006 12:57 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Alex Levine  
7744 montero dr.  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Stoyka Chipchakova**

13 Mart Str. No.8 , Sofia, AS 1000 Bulgaria

December 11, 2006 12:57 PM

Delegate Eni Faleomavaega  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2422 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Delegate Faleomavaega,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Stoyka Chipchakova  
13 Mart Str. No.8  
Sofia, AS 1000

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carol Savage**

4665 Cottonwood Ln N , Plymouth, MN 55442

December 11, 2006 12:54 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carol Savage  
4665 Cottonwood Ln N  
Plymouth, MN 55442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carol Savage**

4665 Cottonwood Ln N , Plymouth, MN 55442

December 11, 2006 12:54 PM

Senator Mark Dayton  
U.S. Senate  
123 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carol Savage  
4665 Cottonwood Ln N  
Plymouth, MN 55442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carol Savage**

4665 Cottonwood Ln N , Plymouth, MN 55442

December 11, 2006 12:54 PM

Representative Jim Ramstad  
U.S. House of Representatives  
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carol Savage  
4665 Cottonwood Ln N  
Plymouth, MN 55442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carol Savage**

4665 Cottonwood Ln N , Plymouth, MN 55442

December 11, 2006 12:54 PM

Senator Norm Coleman  
U.S. Senate  
320 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carol Savage  
4665 Cottonwood Ln N  
Plymouth, MN 55442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carol Savage**

4665 Cottonwood Ln N , Plymouth, MN 55442

December 11, 2006 12:54 PM

Representative Jim Ramstad  
U.S. House of Representatives  
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carol Savage  
4665 Cottonwood Ln N  
Plymouth, MN 55442

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Sherman**

3816 McGarry Dr. , Lexington, KY 40514

December 11, 2006 12:41 PM

Representative Ben Chandler  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1504 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

To whom it may concern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, James Sherman 3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington 40514

Sincerely,  
James Sherman  
3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington, KY 40514

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Sherman**

3816 McGarry Dr. , Lexington, KY 40514

December 11, 2006 12:41 PM

Senator Jim Bunning  
U.S. Senate  
316 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

To whom it may concern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, James Sherman 3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington 40514

Sincerely,  
James Sherman  
3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington, KY 40514

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Sherman**

3816 McGarry Dr. , Lexington, KY 40514

December 11, 2006 12:41 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

To whom it may concern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, James Sherman 3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington 40514

Sincerely,  
James Sherman  
3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington, KY 40514

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Sherman**

3816 McGarry Dr. , Lexington, KY 40514

December 11, 2006 12:41 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

To whom it may concern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, James Sherman 3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington 40514

Sincerely,  
James Sherman  
3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington, KY 40514

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**James Sherman**

3816 McGarry Dr. , Lexington, KY 40514

December 11, 2006 12:41 PM

Representative Ben Chandler  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1504 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

To whom it may concern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, James Sherman 3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington 40514

Sincerely,  
James Sherman  
3816 McGarry Dr.  
Lexington, KY 40514

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james coldiron**

111 sandy creek dr apt 3 , davis, OK 73030

December 11, 2006 12:35 PM

Senator Tom Coburn  
U.S. Senate  
172 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james coldiron  
111 sandy creek dr apt 3  
davis, OK 73030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james coldiron**

111 sandy creek dr apt 3 , davis, OK 73030

December 11, 2006 12:35 PM

Senator James Inhofe  
U.S. Senate  
453 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james coldiron  
111 sandy creek dr apt 3  
davis, OK 73030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james coldiron**

111 sandy creek dr apt 3 , davis, OK 73030

December 11, 2006 12:35 PM

Senator James Inhofe  
U.S. Senate  
453 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james coldiron  
111 sandy creek dr apt 3  
davis, OK 73030

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Stamoulis**

6382 NE Alder St Apt I , Hillsboro, OR 97124

December 11, 2006 12:34 PM

Representative David Wu  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1023 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Stamoulis  
6382 NE Alder St Apt I  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Stamoulis**

6382 NE Alder St Apt I , Hillsboro, OR 97124

December 11, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Stamoulis  
6382 NE Alder St Apt I  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Stamoulis**

6382 NE Alder St Apt I , Hillsboro, OR 97124

December 11, 2006 12:34 PM

Representative David Wu  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1023 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Stamoulis  
6382 NE Alder St Apt I  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Stamoulis**

6382 NE Alder St Apt I , Hillsboro, OR 97124

December 11, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Stamoulis  
6382 NE Alder St Apt I  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Stamoulis**

6382 NE Alder St Apt I , Hillsboro, OR 97124

December 11, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Stamoulis  
6382 NE Alder St Apt I  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Arthur Stamoulis**

6382 NE Alder St Apt I , Hillsboro, OR 97124

December 11, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Stamoulis  
6382 NE Alder St Apt I  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Rohrer**

1565 W University dr , Tempe, AZ 85281

December 11, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rohrer  
1565 W University dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Rohrer**

1565 W University dr , Tempe, AZ 85281

December 11, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rohrer  
1565 W University dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Rohrer**

1565 W University dr , Tempe, AZ 85281

December 11, 2006 12:29 PM

Representative J.D. Hayworth  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2434 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hayworth,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rohrer  
1565 W University dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Rohrer**

1565 W University dr , Tempe, AZ 85281

December 11, 2006 12:29 PM

Representative J.D. Hayworth  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2434 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hayworth,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rohrer  
1565 W University dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Rohrer**

1565 W University dr , Tempe, AZ 85281

December 11, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rohrer  
1565 W University dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Rohrer**

1565 W University dr , Tempe, AZ 85281

December 11, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Rohrer  
1565 W University dr  
Tempe, AZ 85281

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Charlotte Wales**

109 S. Conley St. , Monticello, AR 71655

December 11, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Blanche Lincoln  
U.S. Senate  
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wales  
109 S. Conley St.  
Monticello, AR 71655

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Charlotte Wales**

109 S. Conley St. , Monticello, AR 71655

December 11, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Mark Pryor  
U.S. Senate  
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wales  
109 S. Conley St.  
Monticello, AR 71655

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Charlotte Wales**

109 S. Conley St. , Monticello, AR 71655

December 11, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Mike Ross  
U.S. House of Representatives  
314 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ross,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wales  
109 S. Conley St.  
Monticello, AR 71655

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Charlotte Wales**

109 S. Conley St. , Monticello, AR 71655

December 11, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Mark Pryor  
U.S. Senate  
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wales  
109 S. Conley St.  
Monticello, AR 71655

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Charlotte Wales**

109 S. Conley St. , Monticello, AR 71655

December 11, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Mike Ross  
U.S. House of Representatives  
314 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ross,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wales  
109 S. Conley St.  
Monticello, AR 71655

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Charlotte Wales**

109 S. Conley St. , Monticello, AR 71655

December 11, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Blanche Lincoln  
U.S. Senate  
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Charlotte Wales  
109 S. Conley St.  
Monticello, AR 71655

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Epstein**

257 Pearl St 203, Denver, CO 80203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Wayne Allard  
U.S. Senate  
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Epstein  
257 Pearl St  
203  
Denver, CO 80203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Epstein**

257 Pearl St 203, Denver, CO 80203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Ken Salazar  
U.S. Senate  
702 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Epstein  
257 Pearl St  
203  
Denver, CO 80203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Epstein**

257 Pearl St 203, Denver, CO 80203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative Diana DeGette  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1527 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Epstein  
257 Pearl St  
203  
Denver, CO 80203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Epstein**

257 Pearl St 203, Denver, CO 80203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Wayne Allard  
U.S. Senate  
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Epstein  
257 Pearl St  
203  
Denver, CO 80203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Epstein**

257 Pearl St 203, Denver, CO 80203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Ken Salazar  
U.S. Senate  
702 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Epstein  
257 Pearl St  
203  
Denver, CO 80203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Epstein**

257 Pearl St 203, Denver, CO 80203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative Diana DeGette  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1527 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Epstein  
257 Pearl St  
203  
Denver, CO 80203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Steve Stein**

7414 Flint #104 , Shawnee, KS 66203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Steve Stein  
7414 Flint #104  
Shawnee, KS 66203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Steve Stein**

7414 Flint #104 , Shawnee, KS 66203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Steve Stein  
7414 Flint #104  
Shawnee, KS 66203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Steve Stein**

7414 Flint #104 , Shawnee, KS 66203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative Dennis Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Steve Stein  
7414 Flint #104  
Shawnee, KS 66203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Steve Stein**

7414 Flint #104 , Shawnee, KS 66203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative Dennis Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Steve Stein  
7414 Flint #104  
Shawnee, KS 66203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Eric Fong**

6007 Arlington Blvd. , Richmond, CA 94805

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Eric Fong  
6007 Arlington Blvd.  
Richmond, CA 94805

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Eric Fong**

6007 Arlington Blvd. , Richmond, CA 94805

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative George Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2205 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Eric Fong  
6007 Arlington Blvd.  
Richmond, CA 94805

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Eric Fong**

6007 Arlington Blvd. , Richmond, CA 94805

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative George Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2205 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Eric Fong  
6007 Arlington Blvd.  
Richmond, CA 94805

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Eric Fong**

6007 Arlington Blvd. , Richmond, CA 94805

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Eric Fong  
6007 Arlington Blvd.  
Richmond, CA 94805

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hart**

2126 Rugen Rd. Unit B, Glenview, IL 60026

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hart  
2126 Rugen Rd.  
Unit B  
Glenview, IL 60026

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hart**

2126 Rugen Rd. Unit B, Glenview, IL 60026

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hart  
2126 Rugen Rd.  
Unit B  
Glenview, IL 60026

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Linda Hart**

2126 Rugen Rd. Unit B, Glenview, IL 60026

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Representative Mark Kirk  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1717 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kirk,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hart  
2126 Rugen Rd.  
Unit B  
Glenview, IL 60026

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Eric Fong**

6007 Arlington Blvd. , Richmond, CA 94805

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Eric Fong  
6007 Arlington Blvd.  
Richmond, CA 94805

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Steve Stein**

7414 Flint #104 , Shawnee, KS 66203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Steve Stein  
7414 Flint #104  
Shawnee, KS 66203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Steve Stein**

7414 Flint #104 , Shawnee, KS 66203

December 11, 2006 12:25 PM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Steve Stein  
7414 Flint #104  
Shawnee, KS 66203

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mary nisbet**

box1541 , lowerlake, CA 95457

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mary nisbet  
box1541  
lowerlake, CA 95457

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mary nisbet**

box1541 , lowerlake, CA 95457

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Representative Mike Thompson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
231 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mary nisbet  
box1541  
lowerlake, CA 95457

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Lewis Okun**

597 Liberty Pointe Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lewis Okun  
597 Liberty Pointe Dr.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mary nisbet**

box1541 , lowerlake, CA 95457

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mary nisbet  
box1541  
lowerlake, CA 95457

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mary nisbet**

box1541 , lowerlake, CA 95457

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mary nisbet  
box1541  
lowerlake, CA 95457

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lewis Okun**

597 Liberty Pointe Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Representative John Dingell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2328 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lewis Okun  
597 Liberty Pointe Dr.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lewis Okun**

597 Liberty Pointe Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lewis Okun  
597 Liberty Pointe Dr.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mary nisbet**

box1541 , lowerlake, CA 95457

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Representative Mike Thompson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
231 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mary nisbet  
box1541  
lowerlake, CA 95457

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Lewis Okun**

597 Liberty Pointe Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lewis Okun  
597 Liberty Pointe Dr.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Lewis Okun**

597 Liberty Pointe Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 12:24 PM

Representative John Dingell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2328 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Lewis Okun  
597 Liberty Pointe Dr.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Friel**

5704 West Cornelia , Chicago, IL 60634

December 11, 2006 12:22 PM

Representative Rahm Emanuel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1319 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Emanuel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Friel  
5704 West Cornelia  
Chicago, IL 60634

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Friel**

5704 West Cornelia , Chicago, IL 60634

December 11, 2006 12:22 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Friel  
5704 West Cornelia  
Chicago, IL 60634

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Friel**

5704 West Cornelia , Chicago, IL 60634

December 11, 2006 12:22 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Friel  
5704 West Cornelia  
Chicago, IL 60634

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Friel**

5704 West Cornelia , Chicago, IL 60634

December 11, 2006 12:22 PM

Representative Rahm Emanuel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1319 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Emanuel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Friel  
5704 West Cornelia  
Chicago, IL 60634

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**merrick lauer**

5145 gemini ct , solon, OH 44139

December 11, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator George Voinovich  
U.S. Senate  
524 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
merrick lauer  
5145 gemini ct  
solon, OH 44139

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**merrick lauer**

5145 gemini ct , solon, OH 44139

December 11, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
merrick lauer  
5145 gemini ct  
solon, OH 44139

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**merrick lauer**

5145 gemini ct , solon, OH 44139

December 11, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
merrick lauer  
5145 gemini ct  
solon, OH 44139

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**thomas shepard**

4534 ne 12 ave , portland, OR 97211

December 11, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2446 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
thomas shepard  
4534 ne 12 ave  
portland, OR 97211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**thomas shepard**

4534 ne 12 ave , portland, OR 97211

December 11, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
thomas shepard  
4534 ne 12 ave  
portland, OR 97211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**thomas shepard**

4534 ne 12 ave , portland, OR 97211

December 11, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
thomas shepard  
4534 ne 12 ave  
portland, OR 97211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**thomas shepard**

4534 ne 12 ave , portland, OR 97211

December 11, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
thomas shepard  
4534 ne 12 ave  
portland, OR 97211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**thomas shepard**

4534 ne 12 ave , portland, OR 97211

December 11, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
thomas shepard  
4534 ne 12 ave  
portland, OR 97211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**thomas shepard**

4534 ne 12 ave , portland, OR 97211

December 11, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2446 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
thomas shepard  
4534 ne 12 ave  
portland, OR 97211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Bennett**

3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518 , Chicago, IL 60613

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Bennett  
3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518  
Chicago, IL 60613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Bennett**

3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518 , Chicago, IL 60613

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Bennett  
3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518  
Chicago, IL 60613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Bennett**

3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518 , Chicago, IL 60613

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Representative Rahm Emanuel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1319 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Emanuel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Bennett  
3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518  
Chicago, IL 60613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Bennett**

3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518 , Chicago, IL 60613

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Representative Rahm Emanuel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1319 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Emanuel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Bennett  
3600 N Lake Shore Dr 1518  
Chicago, IL 60613

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Melissa Chianta**

PO Box 1690 , Santa Fe, NM 87504

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Representative Tom Udall  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1414 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Chianta  
PO Box 1690  
Santa Fe, NM 87504

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Quinlan**

22 Park Ave Apt #1 , Rochester, NY 14607

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Representative Louise Slaughter  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2469 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Slaughter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Quinlan  
22 Park Ave Apt #1  
Rochester, NY 14607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Quinlan**

22 Park Ave Apt #1 , Rochester, NY 14607

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Quinlan  
22 Park Ave Apt #1  
Rochester, NY 14607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Melissa Chianta**

PO Box 1690 , Santa Fe, NM 87504

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Pete Domenici  
U.S. Senate  
328 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Chianta  
PO Box 1690  
Santa Fe, NM 87504

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Melissa Chianta**

PO Box 1690 , Santa Fe, NM 87504

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman  
U.S. Senate  
703 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Chianta  
PO Box 1690  
Santa Fe, NM 87504

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Quinlan**

22 Park Ave Apt #1 , Rochester, NY 14607

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Quinlan  
22 Park Ave Apt #1  
Rochester, NY 14607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Melissa Chianta**

PO Box 1690 , Santa Fe, NM 87504

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman  
U.S. Senate  
703 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Chianta  
PO Box 1690  
Santa Fe, NM 87504

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Melissa Chianta**

PO Box 1690 , Santa Fe, NM 87504

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Pete Domenici  
U.S. Senate  
328 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Chianta  
PO Box 1690  
Santa Fe, NM 87504

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Melissa Chianta**

PO Box 1690 , Santa Fe, NM 87504

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Representative Tom Udall  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1414 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Chianta  
PO Box 1690  
Santa Fe, NM 87504

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Quinlan**

22 Park Ave Apt #1 , Rochester, NY 14607

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Quinlan  
22 Park Ave Apt #1  
Rochester, NY 14607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Quinlan**

22 Park Ave Apt #1 , Rochester, NY 14607

December 11, 2006 12:16 PM

Representative Louise Slaughter  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2469 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Slaughter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Quinlan  
22 Park Ave Apt #1  
Rochester, NY 14607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**donald seitz**

4591 se logus rd , Milwaukie, OR 97222

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2446 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
donald seitz  
4591 se logus rd  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**donald seitz**

4591 se logus rd , Milwaukie, OR 97222

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
donald seitz  
4591 se logus rd  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Russel McMillen**

PO Box 513 , Oregon City, OR 97045

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2430 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Russel McMillen  
PO Box 513  
Oregon City, OR 97045

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Russel McMillen**

PO Box 513 , Oregon City, OR 97045

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Russel McMillen  
PO Box 513  
Oregon City, OR 97045

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Russel McMillen**

PO Box 513 , Oregon City, OR 97045

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Russel McMillen  
PO Box 513  
Oregon City, OR 97045

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Russel McMillen**

PO Box 513 , Oregon City, OR 97045

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Russel McMillen  
PO Box 513  
Oregon City, OR 97045

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Russel McMillen**

PO Box 513 , Oregon City, OR 97045

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Russel McMillen  
PO Box 513  
Oregon City, OR 97045

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**donald seitz**

4591 se logus rd , Milwaukie, OR 97222

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2446 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
donald seitz  
4591 se logus rd  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Russel McMillen**

PO Box 513 , Oregon City, OR 97045

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2430 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Russel McMillen  
PO Box 513  
Oregon City, OR 97045

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**donald seitz**

4591 se logus rd , Milwaukie, OR 97222

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
donald seitz  
4591 se logus rd  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**donald seitz**

4591 se logus rd , Milwaukie, OR 97222

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
donald seitz  
4591 se logus rd  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**donald seitz**

4591 se logus rd , Milwaukie, OR 97222

December 11, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
donald seitz  
4591 se logus rd  
Milwaukie, OR 97222

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Chappa**

241 Fortress St , Gwinn, MI 49841

December 11, 2006 12:05 PM

Representative Bart Stupak  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2352 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stupak,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Chappa  
241 Fortress St  
Gwinn, MI 49841

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Chappa**

241 Fortress St , Gwinn, MI 49841

December 11, 2006 12:05 PM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Chappa  
241 Fortress St  
Gwinn, MI 49841

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Chappa**

241 Fortress St , Gwinn, MI 49841

December 11, 2006 12:05 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Chappa  
241 Fortress St  
Gwinn, MI 49841

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Chappa**

241 Fortress St , Gwinn, MI 49841

December 11, 2006 12:05 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Chappa  
241 Fortress St  
Gwinn, MI 49841

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brandon turner**

125 giotto st , irvine, CA 92614

December 11, 2006 12:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brandon turner  
125 giotto st  
irvine, CA 92614

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brandon turner**

125 giotto st , irvine, CA 92614

December 11, 2006 12:04 PM

Representative John Campbell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2402 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Campbell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brandon turner  
125 giotto st  
irvine, CA 92614

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brandon turner**

125 giotto st , irvine, CA 92614

December 11, 2006 12:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brandon turner  
125 giotto st  
irvine, CA 92614

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**brandon turner**

125 giotto st , irvine, CA 92614

December 11, 2006 12:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
brandon turner  
125 giotto st  
irvine, CA 92614

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Perner**

3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B , Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

December 11, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Perner  
3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Perner**

3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B , Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

December 11, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Perner  
3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Perner**

3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B , Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

December 11, 2006 12:03 PM

Representative Rick Renzi  
U.S. House of Representatives  
418 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Perner  
3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Perner**

3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B , Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

December 11, 2006 12:03 PM

Representative Rick Renzi  
U.S. House of Representatives  
418 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Perner  
3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Perner**

3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B , Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

December 11, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Perner  
3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Michael Perner**

3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B , Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

December 11, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Michael Perner  
3249 N. Hedgewood Dr Apt. B  
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gary Mesker**

4289 Bonar Bridge Rd. , South Jordan, UT 84095

December 11, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Robert Bennett  
U.S. Senate  
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gary Mesker  
4289 Bonar Bridge Rd.  
South Jordan, UT 84095

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gary Mesker**

4289 Bonar Bridge Rd. , South Jordan, UT 84095

December 11, 2006 12:02 PM

Representative Chris Cannon  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2436 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cannon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gary Mesker  
4289 Bonar Bridge Rd.  
South Jordan, UT 84095

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gary Mesker**

4289 Bonar Bridge Rd. , South Jordan, UT 84095

December 11, 2006 12:02 PM

Representative Chris Cannon  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2436 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cannon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gary Mesker  
4289 Bonar Bridge Rd.  
South Jordan, UT 84095

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gary Mesker**

4289 Bonar Bridge Rd. , South Jordan, UT 84095

December 11, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Robert Bennett  
U.S. Senate  
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gary Mesker  
4289 Bonar Bridge Rd.  
South Jordan, UT 84095

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gary Mesker**

4289 Bonar Bridge Rd. , South Jordan, UT 84095

December 11, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch  
U.S. Senate  
104 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gary Mesker  
4289 Bonar Bridge Rd.  
South Jordan, UT 84095

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gary Mesker**

4289 Bonar Bridge Rd. , South Jordan, UT 84095

December 11, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch  
U.S. Senate  
104 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gary Mesker  
4289 Bonar Bridge Rd.  
South Jordan, UT 84095

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wormser**

6709 Buckhorn Dr. Apt. 726, Indianapolis, IN 46254

December 11, 2006 12:00 PM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wormser  
6709 Buckhorn Dr.  
Apt. 726  
Indianapolis, IN 46254

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wormser**

6709 Buckhorn Dr. Apt. 726, Indianapolis, IN 46254

December 11, 2006 12:00 PM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wormser  
6709 Buckhorn Dr.  
Apt. 726  
Indianapolis, IN 46254

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wormser**

6709 Buckhorn Dr. Apt. 726, Indianapolis, IN 46254

December 11, 2006 12:00 PM

Representative Julia Carson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1535 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wormser  
6709 Buckhorn Dr.  
Apt. 726  
Indianapolis, IN 46254

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wormser**

6709 Buckhorn Dr. Apt. 726, Indianapolis, IN 46254

December 11, 2006 12:00 PM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wormser  
6709 Buckhorn Dr.  
Apt. 726  
Indianapolis, IN 46254

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wormser**

6709 Buckhorn Dr. Apt. 726, Indianapolis, IN 46254

December 11, 2006 12:00 PM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wormser  
6709 Buckhorn Dr.  
Apt. 726  
Indianapolis, IN 46254

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**David Wormser**

6709 Buckhorn Dr. Apt. 726, Indianapolis, IN 46254

December 11, 2006 12:00 PM

Representative Julia Carson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1535 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
David Wormser  
6709 Buckhorn Dr.  
Apt. 726  
Indianapolis, IN 46254

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremiah howe**

817 webster st , iowa city, IA 52240

December 11, 2006 11:59 AM

Representative Jim Leach  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2186 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremiah howe  
817 webster st  
iowa city, IA 52240

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremiah howe**

817 webster st , iowa city, IA 52240

December 11, 2006 11:59 AM

Senator Tom Harkin  
U.S. Senate  
731 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremiah howe  
817 webster st  
iowa city, IA 52240

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremiah howe**

817 webster st , iowa city, IA 52240

December 11, 2006 11:59 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley  
U.S. Senate  
135 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremiah howe  
817 webster st  
iowa city, IA 52240

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremiah howe**

817 webster st , iowa city, IA 52240

December 11, 2006 11:59 AM

Representative Jim Leach  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2186 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremiah howe  
817 webster st  
iowa city, IA 52240

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**jeremiah howe**

817 webster st , iowa city, IA 52240

December 11, 2006 11:59 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley  
U.S. Senate  
135 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
jeremiah howe  
817 webster st  
iowa city, IA 52240

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Masback**

1330 N Frederic St. , Burbank, CA 91505

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Masback  
1330 N Frederic St.  
Burbank, CA 91505

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Masback**

1330 N Frederic St. , Burbank, CA 91505

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Brad Sherman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1030 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sherman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Masback  
1330 N Frederic St.  
Burbank, CA 91505

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Sanders**

10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman  
U.S. Senate  
703 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Sanders  
10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Masback**

1330 N Frederic St. , Burbank, CA 91505

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Masback  
1330 N Frederic St.  
Burbank, CA 91505

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Masback**

1330 N Frederic St. , Burbank, CA 91505

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Masback  
1330 N Frederic St.  
Burbank, CA 91505

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Susan Masback**

1330 N Frederic St. , Burbank, CA 91505

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Brad Sherman  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1030 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sherman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Susan Masback  
1330 N Frederic St.  
Burbank, CA 91505

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Tony Gulick**

201 west tenth , winfield, KS 67156

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gulick  
201 west tenth  
winfield, KS 67156

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tony Gulick**

201 west tenth , winfield, KS 67156

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gulick  
201 west tenth  
winfield, KS 67156

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Matthew Sanders**

10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Heather Wilson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
318 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Sanders  
10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Sanders**

10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Pete Domenici  
U.S. Senate  
328 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Sanders  
10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Tony Gulick**

201 west tenth , winfield, KS 67156

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Todd Tiahrt  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2441 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tiahrt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gulick  
201 west tenth  
winfield, KS 67156

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tony Gulick**

201 west tenth , winfield, KS 67156

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Sam Brownback  
U.S. Senate  
303 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gulick  
201 west tenth  
winfield, KS 67156

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Tony Gulick**

201 west tenth , winfield, KS 67156

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Todd Tiahrt  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2441 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tiahrt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gulick  
201 west tenth  
winfield, KS 67156

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Tony Gulick**

201 west tenth , winfield, KS 67156

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Pat Roberts  
U.S. Senate  
109 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Tony Gulick  
201 west tenth  
winfield, KS 67156

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Sanders**

10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman  
U.S. Senate  
703 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Sanders  
10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matthew Sanders**

10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Pete Domenici  
U.S. Senate  
328 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Sanders  
10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Matthew Sanders**

10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 11, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Heather Wilson  
U.S. House of Representatives  
318 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Sanders  
10508 Sierra Bonita ave ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mike anderson**

919 backbone road west , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Representative Jerry Weller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
108 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mike anderson  
919 backbone road west  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Cline**

P.O. Box 47 , Piney View, WV 25906

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator John Rockefeller  
U.S. Senate  
531 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Cline  
P.O. Box 47  
Piney View, WV 25906

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Cline**

P.O. Box 47 , Piney View, WV 25906

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Representative Nick Rahall  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2307 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rahall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Cline  
P.O. Box 47  
Piney View, WV 25906

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Cline**

P.O. Box 47 , Piney View, WV 25906

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Robert Byrd  
U.S. Senate  
311 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Cline  
P.O. Box 47  
Piney View, WV 25906

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mike anderson**

919 backbone road west , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mike anderson  
919 backbone road west  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mike anderson**

919 backbone road west , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mike anderson  
919 backbone road west  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Cline**

P.O. Box 47 , Piney View, WV 25906

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Robert Byrd  
U.S. Senate  
311 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Cline  
P.O. Box 47  
Piney View, WV 25906

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Cline**

P.O. Box 47 , Piney View, WV 25906

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator John Rockefeller  
U.S. Senate  
531 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Cline  
P.O. Box 47  
Piney View, WV 25906

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**John Cline**

P.O. Box 47 , Piney View, WV 25906

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Representative Nick Rahall  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2307 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rahall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
John Cline  
P.O. Box 47  
Piney View, WV 25906

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**mike anderson**

919 backbone road west , princeton, IL 61356

December 11, 2006 11:56 AM

Representative Jerry Weller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
108 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Weller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
mike anderson  
919 backbone road west  
princeton, IL 61356

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Siewak**

6548 Aberdeen Ave , New Port Richey, FL 34653

December 11, 2006 11:52 AM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Siewak  
6548 Aberdeen Ave  
New Port Richey, FL 34653

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Siewak**

6548 Aberdeen Ave , New Port Richey, FL 34653

December 11, 2006 11:52 AM

Representative Michael Bilirakis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Siewak  
6548 Aberdeen Ave  
New Port Richey, FL 34653

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Siewak**

6548 Aberdeen Ave , New Port Richey, FL 34653

December 11, 2006 11:52 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Siewak  
6548 Aberdeen Ave  
New Port Richey, FL 34653

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Siewak**

6548 Aberdeen Ave , New Port Richey, FL 34653

December 11, 2006 11:52 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Siewak  
6548 Aberdeen Ave  
New Port Richey, FL 34653

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Christopher Siewak**

6548 Aberdeen Ave , New Port Richey, FL 34653

December 11, 2006 11:52 AM

Representative Michael Bilirakis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Siewak  
6548 Aberdeen Ave  
New Port Richey, FL 34653

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Catherine Arnold**

1841 Trosper Rd SW #26 , Tumwater, WA 98512

December 11, 2006 11:50 AM

Representative Brian Baird  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1421 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Arnold  
1841 Trosper Rd SW #26  
Tumwater, WA 98512

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Catherine Arnold**

1841 Trosper Rd SW #26 , Tumwater, WA 98512

December 11, 2006 11:50 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Arnold  
1841 Trosper Rd SW #26  
Tumwater, WA 98512

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Catherine Arnold**

1841 Trosper Rd SW #26 , Tumwater, WA 98512

December 11, 2006 11:50 AM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Arnold  
1841 Trosper Rd SW #26  
Tumwater, WA 98512

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Catherine Arnold**

1841 Trosper Rd SW #26 , Tumwater, WA 98512

December 11, 2006 11:50 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell  
U.S. Senate  
717 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Arnold  
1841 Trosper Rd SW #26  
Tumwater, WA 98512

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Catherine Arnold**

1841 Trosper Rd SW #26 , Tumwater, WA 98512

December 11, 2006 11:50 AM

Senator Patty Murray  
U.S. Senate  
173 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Arnold  
1841 Trosper Rd SW #26  
Tumwater, WA 98512

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Catherine Arnold**

1841 Trosper Rd SW #26 , Tumwater, WA 98512

December 11, 2006 11:50 AM

Representative Brian Baird  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1421 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Arnold  
1841 Trosper Rd SW #26  
Tumwater, WA 98512

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Han**

1795 W. Stadium Blvd. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Han  
1795 W. Stadium Blvd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Han**

1795 W. Stadium Blvd. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 11:49 AM

Representative John Dingell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2328 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Han  
1795 W. Stadium Blvd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Han**

1795 W. Stadium Blvd. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Carl Levin  
U.S. Senate  
269 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Han  
1795 W. Stadium Blvd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Han**

1795 W. Stadium Blvd. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow  
U.S. Senate  
133 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Han  
1795 W. Stadium Blvd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Han**

1795 W. Stadium Blvd. , Ann Arbor, MI 48103

December 11, 2006 11:49 AM

Representative John Dingell  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2328 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Han  
1795 W. Stadium Blvd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Kenzakoski**

1070 Westminster Rd , Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

December 11, 2006 11:47 AM

Representative Paul Kanjorski  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2188 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kanjorski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Kenzakoski  
1070 Westminster Rd  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Kenzakoski**

1070 Westminster Rd , Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

December 11, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Rick Santorum  
U.S. Senate  
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Kenzakoski  
1070 Westminster Rd  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Kenzakoski**

1070 Westminster Rd , Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

December 11, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Kenzakoski  
1070 Westminster Rd  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Kenzakoski**

1070 Westminster Rd , Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

December 11, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Arlen Specter  
U.S. Senate  
711 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Kenzakoski  
1070 Westminster Rd  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Robert Kenzakoski**

1070 Westminster Rd , Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

December 11, 2006 11:47 AM

Representative Paul Kanjorski  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2188 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kanjorski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Robert Kenzakoski  
1070 Westminster Rd  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18702

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jesse Reich**

8484 16th st , Silver Spring, MD 20910

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski  
U.S. Senate  
503 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Reich  
8484 16th st  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jesse Reich**

8484 16th st , Silver Spring, MD 20910

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Representative Chris Van Hollen  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1419 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Van Hollen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Reich  
8484 16th st  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jesse Reich**

8484 16th st , Silver Spring, MD 20910

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes  
U.S. Senate  
309 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Reich  
8484 16th st  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jesse Reich**

8484 16th st , Silver Spring, MD 20910

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski  
U.S. Senate  
503 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Reich  
8484 16th st  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jesse Reich**

8484 16th st , Silver Spring, MD 20910

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Representative Chris Van Hollen  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1419 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Van Hollen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Reich  
8484 16th st  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**JOHN DERNIER**

1350 SW 23 AVE , FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Representative Debbie Wasserman Schultz  
U.S. House of Representatives  
118 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wasserman Schultz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
JOHN DERNIER  
1350 SW 23 AVE  
FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**JOHN DERNIER**

1350 SW 23 AVE , FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
JOHN DERNIER  
1350 SW 23 AVE  
FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**JOHN DERNIER**

1350 SW 23 AVE , FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
JOHN DERNIER  
1350 SW 23 AVE  
FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**william pohl**

4606 N. Dover Apt.3S , chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
william pohl  
4606 N. Dover Apt.3S  
chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**william pohl**

4606 N. Dover Apt.3S , chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Representative Rahm Emanuel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1319 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Emanuel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
william pohl  
4606 N. Dover Apt.3S  
chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**william pohl**

4606 N. Dover Apt.3S , chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
william pohl  
4606 N. Dover Apt.3S  
chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**JOHN DERNIER**

1350 SW 23 AVE , FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
JOHN DERNIER  
1350 SW 23 AVE  
FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33312

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**william pohl**

4606 N. Dover Apt.3S , chicago, IL 60640

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Representative Rahm Emanuel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1319 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Emanuel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
william pohl  
4606 N. Dover Apt.3S  
chicago, IL 60640

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jesse Reich**

8484 16th st , Silver Spring, MD 20910

December 11, 2006 11:46 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes  
U.S. Senate  
309 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jesse Reich  
8484 16th st  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kenneth Ritzert**

3711 Neely Rd , Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

December 11, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Lisa Murkowski  
U.S. Senate  
709 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Ritzert  
3711 Neely Rd  
Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kenneth Ritzert**

3711 Neely Rd , Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

December 11, 2006 11:45 AM

Representative Don Young  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2111 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Ritzert  
3711 Neely Rd  
Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kenneth Ritzert**

3711 Neely Rd , Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

December 11, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Ted Stevens  
U.S. Senate  
522 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stevens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Ritzert  
3711 Neely Rd  
Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Kenneth Ritzert**

3711 Neely Rd , Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

December 11, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Lisa Murkowski  
U.S. Senate  
709 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Ritzert  
3711 Neely Rd  
Ft Wainwright, AK 99703

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam T**

patterson hall, patterson road , Valdosta, GA 31206

December 11, 2006 11:42 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam T  
patterson hall, patterson road  
Valdosta, GA 31206

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam T**

patterson hall, patterson road , Valdosta, GA 31206

December 11, 2006 11:42 AM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam T  
patterson hall, patterson road  
Valdosta, GA 31206

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Adam T**

patterson hall, patterson road , Valdosta, GA 31206

December 11, 2006 11:42 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Adam T  
patterson hall, patterson road  
Valdosta, GA 31206

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Penny and Ken Bruce**

4203 North Morris Blvd , Shorewood, WI 5 3211

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative James Sensenbrenner  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2449 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sensenbrenner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Penny and Ken Bruce  
4203 North Morris Blvd  
Shorewood, WI 5 3211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Daniel Alley**

501-203 Bridleridge Lane , Raleigh, NC 27609

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative Brad Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1722 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Alley  
501-203 Bridleridge Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27609

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Daniel Alley**

501-203 Bridleridge Lane , Raleigh, NC 27609

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Elizabeth Dole  
U.S. Senate  
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Alley  
501-203 Bridleridge Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27609

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Penny and Ken Bruce**

4203 North Morris Blvd , Shorewood, WI 5 3211

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Penny and Ken Bruce  
4203 North Morris Blvd  
Shorewood, WI 5 3211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Daniel Alley**

501-203 Bridleridge Lane , Raleigh, NC 27609

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Richard Burr  
U.S. Senate  
217 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Alley  
501-203 Bridleridge Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27609

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Penny and Ken Bruce**

4203 North Morris Blvd , Shorewood, WI 5 3211

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Penny and Ken Bruce  
4203 North Morris Blvd  
Shorewood, WI 5 3211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Daniel Alley**

501-203 Bridleridge Lane , Raleigh, NC 27609

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Elizabeth Dole  
U.S. Senate  
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Alley  
501-203 Bridleridge Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27609

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Daniel Alley**

501-203 Bridleridge Lane , Raleigh, NC 27609

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative Brad Miller  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1722 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Alley  
501-203 Bridleridge Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27609

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Penny and Ken Bruce**

4203 North Morris Blvd , Shorewood, WI 5 3211

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Penny and Ken Bruce  
4203 North Morris Blvd  
Shorewood, WI 5 3211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Penny and Ken Bruce**

4203 North Morris Blvd , Shorewood, WI 5 3211

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative James Sensenbrenner  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2449 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sensenbrenner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Penny and Ken Bruce  
4203 North Morris Blvd  
Shorewood, WI 5 3211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Daniel Alley**

501-203 Bridleridge Lane , Raleigh, NC 27609

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Richard Burr  
U.S. Senate  
217 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Alley  
501-203 Bridleridge Lane  
Raleigh, NC 27609

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Penny and Ken Bruce**

4203 North Morris Blvd , Shorewood, WI 5 3211

December 11, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Penny and Ken Bruce  
4203 North Morris Blvd  
Shorewood, WI 5 3211

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Myer**

1302 Van Ness , Fresno, CA 93721

December 11, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Myer  
1302 Van Ness  
Fresno, CA 93721

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Myer**

1302 Van Ness , Fresno, CA 93721

December 11, 2006 11:40 AM

Representative Jim Costa  
U. S. House of Representatives  
1004 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Myer  
1302 Van Ness  
Fresno, CA 93721

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Myer**

1302 Van Ness , Fresno, CA 93721

December 11, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Myer  
1302 Van Ness  
Fresno, CA 93721

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Myer**

1302 Van Ness , Fresno, CA 93721

December 11, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Myer  
1302 Van Ness  
Fresno, CA 93721

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Mike Myer**

1302 Van Ness , Fresno, CA 93721

December 11, 2006 11:40 AM

Representative Jim Costa  
U. S. House of Representatives  
1004 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mike Myer  
1302 Van Ness  
Fresno, CA 93721

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Todd Spoden

16 S. Pontiac Dr #7 , Janesville, WI 53545

December 11, 2006 11:37 AM

Representative Paul Ryan  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1113 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Todd Spoden  
16 S. Pontiac Dr #7  
Janesville, WI 53545

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Todd Spoden

16 S. Pontiac Dr #7 , Janesville, WI 53545

December 11, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Todd Spoden  
16 S. Pontiac Dr #7  
Janesville, WI 53545

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Todd Spoden

16 S. Pontiac Dr #7 , Janesville, WI 53545

December 11, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Todd Spoden  
16 S. Pontiac Dr #7  
Janesville, WI 53545

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Todd Spoden

16 S. Pontiac Dr #7 , Janesville, WI 53545

December 11, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator Russ Feingold  
U.S. Senate  
506 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Todd Spoden  
16 S. Pontiac Dr #7  
Janesville, WI 53545

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## Todd Spoden

16 S. Pontiac Dr #7 , Janesville, WI 53545

December 11, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator Herb Kohl  
U.S. Senate  
330 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Todd Spoden  
16 S. Pontiac Dr #7  
Janesville, WI 53545

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Micah Wardrip**

1320 Locust St. , Owensboro, KY 42301

December 11, 2006 11:35 AM

Senator Jim Bunning  
U.S. Senate  
316 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Micah Wardrip  
1320 Locust St.  
Owensboro, KY 42301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Micah Wardrip**

1320 Locust St. , Owensboro, KY 42301

December 11, 2006 11:35 AM

Representative Ron Lewis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2418 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Micah Wardrip  
1320 Locust St.  
Owensboro, KY 42301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Micah Wardrip**

1320 Locust St. , Owensboro, KY 42301

December 11, 2006 11:35 AM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Micah Wardrip  
1320 Locust St.  
Owensboro, KY 42301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Micah Wardrip**

1320 Locust St. , Owensboro, KY 42301

December 11, 2006 11:35 AM

Senator Mitch McConnell  
U.S. Senate  
361-A Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Micah Wardrip  
1320 Locust St.  
Owensboro, KY 42301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Micah Wardrip**

1320 Locust St. , Owensboro, KY 42301

December 11, 2006 11:35 AM

Representative Ron Lewis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2418 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Micah Wardrip  
1320 Locust St.  
Owensboro, KY 42301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carole DiZeo**

4413 Eberly Ave. , Brookfield, IL 60513

December 11, 2006 11:33 AM

Representative Daniel Lipinski  
U. S. House of Representatives  
1217 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lipinski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carole DiZeo  
4413 Eberly Ave.  
Brookfield, IL 60513

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carole DiZeo**

4413 Eberly Ave. , Brookfield, IL 60513

December 11, 2006 11:33 AM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carole DiZeo  
4413 Eberly Ave.  
Brookfield, IL 60513

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carole DiZeo**

4413 Eberly Ave. , Brookfield, IL 60513

December 11, 2006 11:33 AM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carole DiZeo  
4413 Eberly Ave.  
Brookfield, IL 60513

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Carole DiZeo**

4413 Eberly Ave. , Brookfield, IL 60513

December 11, 2006 11:33 AM

Representative Daniel Lipinski  
U. S. House of Representatives  
1217 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lipinski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Carole DiZeo  
4413 Eberly Ave.  
Brookfield, IL 60513

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sam gladu**

324 road street , gtatnounbe, TX j4k6y8

December 11, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sam gladu  
324 road street  
gtatnounbe, TX j4k6y8

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sam gladu**

324 road street , gtatnounbe, TX j4k6y8

December 11, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sam gladu  
324 road street  
gtatnounbe, TX j4k6y8

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jolie Lee**

322 S. Green St. , Chicago, IL 60607

December 11, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Dick Durbin  
U.S. Senate  
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jolie Lee  
322 S. Green St.  
Chicago, IL 60607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jolie Lee**

322 S. Green St. , Chicago, IL 60607

December 11, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Barack Obama  
U.S. Senate  
713 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jolie Lee  
322 S. Green St.  
Chicago, IL 60607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jolie Lee**

322 S. Green St. , Chicago, IL 60607

December 11, 2006 11:32 AM

Representative Danny Davis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1526 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jolie Lee  
322 S. Green St.  
Chicago, IL 60607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sam gladu**

324 road street , gtatnounbe, TX j4k6y8

December 11, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sam gladu  
324 road street  
gtatnounbe, TX j4k6y8

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james gillespie**

5610 e 9th st , tucson, AZ 85711

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james gillespie  
5610 e 9th st  
tucson, AZ 85711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james gillespie**

5610 e 9th st , tucson, AZ 85711

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james gillespie  
5610 e 9th st  
tucson, AZ 85711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**lennie medlin**

3481 midvale rd , tucker, GA 30084

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Representative Cynthia McKinney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
320 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
lennie medlin  
3481 midvale rd  
tucker, GA 30084

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**lennie medlin**

3481 midvale rd , tucker, GA 30084

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
lennie medlin  
3481 midvale rd  
tucker, GA 30084

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William James**

1595 mona vista ct. , new albany, IN 47150

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William James  
1595 mona vista ct.  
new albany, IN 47150

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**lennie medlin**

3481 midvale rd , tucker, GA 30084

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Saxby Chambliss  
U.S. Senate  
416 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
lennie medlin  
3481 midvale rd  
tucker, GA 30084

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William James**

1595 mona vista ct. , new albany, IN 47150

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William James  
1595 mona vista ct.  
new albany, IN 47150

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William James**

1595 mona vista ct. , new albany, IN 47150

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Representative Mike Sodrel  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1508 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sodrel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William James  
1595 mona vista ct.  
new albany, IN 47150

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William James**

1595 mona vista ct. , new albany, IN 47150

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William James  
1595 mona vista ct.  
new albany, IN 47150

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**William James**

1595 mona vista ct. , new albany, IN 47150

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
William James  
1595 mona vista ct.  
new albany, IN 47150

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Jones**

4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54 , Salem, OR 97305

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Jones  
4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54  
Salem, OR 97305

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Jones**

4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54 , Salem, OR 97305

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Jones  
4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54  
Salem, OR 97305

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Jones**

4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54 , Salem, OR 97305

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2430 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Jones  
4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54  
Salem, OR 97305

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james gillespie**

5610 e 9th st , tucson, AZ 85711

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator John McCain  
U.S. Senate  
241 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james gillespie  
5610 e 9th st  
tucson, AZ 85711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james gillespie**

5610 e 9th st , tucson, AZ 85711

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Jon Kyl  
U.S. Senate  
730 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james gillespie  
5610 e 9th st  
tucson, AZ 85711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**james gillespie**

5610 e 9th st , tucson, AZ 85711

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Representative Jim Kolbe  
U.S. House of Representatives  
237 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kolbe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
james gillespie  
5610 e 9th st  
tucson, AZ 85711

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**lennie medlin**

3481 midvale rd , tucker, GA 30084

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson  
U.S. Senate  
120 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
lennie medlin  
3481 midvale rd  
tucker, GA 30084

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**lennie medlin**

3481 midvale rd , tucker, GA 30084

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Representative Cynthia McKinney  
U.S. House of Representatives  
320 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
lennie medlin  
3481 midvale rd  
tucker, GA 30084

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Jones**

4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54 , Salem, OR 97305

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Gordon Smith  
U.S. Senate  
404 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Jones  
4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54  
Salem, OR 97305

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Jones**

4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54 , Salem, OR 97305

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Senator Ron Wyden  
U.S. Senate  
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Jones  
4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54  
Salem, OR 97305

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Chris Jones**

4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54 , Salem, OR 97305

December 11, 2006 11:31 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2430 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Chris Jones  
4155 Lancaster Dr. N.E. #54  
Salem, OR 97305

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Mallory Sanders**

40-60 Elbertson Street , Elmhurst, NY 11373

December 11, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton  
U.S. Senate  
476 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Can you say monopoly? Don't forget they're illegal!!!

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mallory Sanders  
40-60 Elbertson Street  
Elmhurst, NY 11373

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Mallory Sanders**

40-60 Elbertson Street , Elmhurst, NY 11373

December 11, 2006 11:30 AM

Representative Joseph Crowley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Can you say monopoly? Don't forget they're illegal!!!

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mallory Sanders  
40-60 Elbertson Street  
Elmhurst, NY 11373

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Mallory Sanders**

40-60 Elbertson Street , Elmhurst, NY 11373

December 11, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Can you say monopoly? Don't forget they're illegal!!!

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mallory Sanders  
40-60 Elbertson Street  
Elmhurst, NY 11373

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Mallory Sanders**

40-60 Elbertson Street , Elmhurst, NY 11373

December 11, 2006 11:30 AM

Representative Joseph Crowley  
U.S. House of Representatives  
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Can you say monopoly? Don't forget they're illegal!!!

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mallory Sanders  
40-60 Elbertson Street  
Elmhurst, NY 11373

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Mallory Sanders**

40-60 Elbertson Street , Elmhurst, NY 11373

December 11, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Senate  
313 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Can you say monopoly? Don't forget they're illegal!!!

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Mallory Sanders  
40-60 Elbertson Street  
Elmhurst, NY 11373

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Johanna Porter**

1166 Garfield Street , Hollywood, FL 33019

December 11, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Johanna Porter  
1166 Garfield Street  
Hollywood, FL 33019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Johanna Porter**

1166 Garfield Street , Hollywood, FL 33019

December 11, 2006 11:29 AM

Representative Debbie Wasserman Schultz  
U.S. House of Representatives  
118 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wasserman Schultz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Johanna Porter  
1166 Garfield Street  
Hollywood, FL 33019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Johanna Porter**

1166 Garfield Street , Hollywood, FL 33019

December 11, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Johanna Porter  
1166 Garfield Street  
Hollywood, FL 33019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Johanna Porter**

1166 Garfield Street , Hollywood, FL 33019

December 11, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Johanna Porter  
1166 Garfield Street  
Hollywood, FL 33019

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Honeck**

2708 Caledon Lane , Cincinnati, OH 45244

December 11, 2006 11:26 AM

Representative Jean Schmidt  
U. S. House of Representatives  
238 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schmidt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Honeck  
2708 Caledon Lane  
Cincinnati, OH 45244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Honeck**

2708 Caledon Lane , Cincinnati, OH 45244

December 11, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Honeck  
2708 Caledon Lane  
Cincinnati, OH 45244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Honeck**

2708 Caledon Lane , Cincinnati, OH 45244

December 11, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator George Voinovich  
U.S. Senate  
524 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Honeck  
2708 Caledon Lane  
Cincinnati, OH 45244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Joan Honeck**

2708 Caledon Lane , Cincinnati, OH 45244

December 11, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Mike DeWine  
U.S. Senate  
140 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Joan Honeck  
2708 Caledon Lane  
Cincinnati, OH 45244

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Gersssssld Bodoh**

912 SW 57th Dr , Gainesville, FL 32607

December 11, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gersssssld Bodoh  
912 SW 57th Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Gersssssld Bodoh**

912 SW 57th Dr , Gainesville, FL 32607

December 11, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Bill Nelson  
U.S. Senate  
716 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gersssssld Bodoh  
912 SW 57th Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gersssssld Bodoh**

912 SW 57th Dr , Gainesville, FL 32607

December 11, 2006 11:24 AM

Representative Cliff Stearns  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2370 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stearns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gersssssld Bodoh  
912 SW 57th Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Gersssssld Bodoh**

912 SW 57th Dr , Gainesville, FL 32607

December 11, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Mel Martinez  
United States Senate  
317 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gersssssld Bodoh  
912 SW 57th Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Gersssssld Bodoh**

912 SW 57th Dr , Gainesville, FL 32607

December 11, 2006 11:24 AM

Representative Cliff Stearns  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2370 Rayburn House Office Bldg.  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stearns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Gersssssld Bodoh  
912 SW 57th Dr  
Gainesville, FL 32607

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sean DeAngelis**

4377 Cherokee Dr , San Diego, CA 92104

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sean DeAngelis  
4377 Cherokee Dr  
San Diego, CA 92104

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sean DeAngelis**

4377 Cherokee Dr , San Diego, CA 92104

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Representative Susan Davis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1224 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sean DeAngelis  
4377 Cherokee Dr  
San Diego, CA 92104

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sean DeAngelis**

4377 Cherokee Dr , San Diego, CA 92104

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Representative Susan Davis  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1224 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sean DeAngelis  
4377 Cherokee Dr  
San Diego, CA 92104

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Gilman17**

17 Rumford Road , Lexington, MA, MA 02420

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Gilman17  
17 Rumford Road  
Lexington, MA, MA 02420

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Gilman17**

17 Rumford Road , Lexington, MA, MA 02420

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Gilman17  
17 Rumford Road  
Lexington, MA, MA 02420

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sean DeAngelis**

4377 Cherokee Dr , San Diego, CA 92104

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer  
U.S. Senate  
112 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sean DeAngelis  
4377 Cherokee Dr  
San Diego, CA 92104

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Sean DeAngelis**

4377 Cherokee Dr , San Diego, CA 92104

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein  
U.S. Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Sean DeAngelis  
4377 Cherokee Dr  
San Diego, CA 92104

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Gilman17**

17 Rumford Road , Lexington, MA, MA 02420

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Representative Ed Markey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2108 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Gilman17  
17 Rumford Road  
Lexington, MA, MA 02420

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Gilman17**

17 Rumford Road , Lexington, MA, MA 02420

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Gilman17  
17 Rumford Road  
Lexington, MA, MA 02420

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Richard Gilman17**

17 Rumford Road , Lexington, MA, MA 02420

December 11, 2006 11:23 AM

Representative Ed Markey  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2108 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Richard Gilman17  
17 Rumford Road  
Lexington, MA, MA 02420

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jason Inlow**

15282 Evanston Close , Noblesville, IN 46062

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jason Inlow  
15282 Evanston Close  
Noblesville, IN 46062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Nicholas Heikkila**

30 Factory Hollow , Greenfield, MA 01301

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator John Kerry  
U.S. Senate  
304 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Heikkila  
30 Factory Hollow  
Greenfield, MA 01301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Nicholas Heikkila**

30 Factory Hollow , Greenfield, MA 01301

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Representative John Olver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Heikkila  
30 Factory Hollow  
Greenfield, MA 01301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jason Inlow**

15282 Evanston Close , Noblesville, IN 46062

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jason Inlow  
15282 Evanston Close  
Noblesville, IN 46062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jason Inlow**

15282 Evanston Close , Noblesville, IN 46062

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Representative Dan Burton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2185 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jason Inlow  
15282 Evanston Close  
Noblesville, IN 46062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Nicholas Heikkila**

30 Factory Hollow , Greenfield, MA 01301

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Heikkila  
30 Factory Hollow  
Greenfield, MA 01301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jason Inlow**

15282 Evanston Close , Noblesville, IN 46062

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator Evan Bayh  
U.S. Senate  
463 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jason Inlow  
15282 Evanston Close  
Noblesville, IN 46062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jason Inlow**

15282 Evanston Close , Noblesville, IN 46062

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator Richard Lugar  
U.S. Senate  
306 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jason Inlow  
15282 Evanston Close  
Noblesville, IN 46062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Jason Inlow**

15282 Evanston Close , Noblesville, IN 46062

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Representative Dan Burton  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2185 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Jason Inlow  
15282 Evanston Close  
Noblesville, IN 46062

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Nicholas Heikkila**

30 Factory Hollow , Greenfield, MA 01301

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy  
U.S. Senate  
315 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Heikkila  
30 Factory Hollow  
Greenfield, MA 01301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Nicholas Heikkila**

30 Factory Hollow , Greenfield, MA 01301

December 11, 2006 11:22 AM

Representative John Olver  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1111 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Nicholas Heikkila  
30 Factory Hollow  
Greenfield, MA 01301

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

## **Renate Mairie**

Po Box 1776 , Boulder, CO 80306

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Senator Wayne Allard  
U.S. Senate  
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Renate Mairie  
Po Box 1776  
Boulder, CO 80306

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Renate Mairie**

Po Box 1776 , Boulder, CO 80306

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Senator Ken Salazar  
U.S. Senate  
702 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Renate Mairie  
Po Box 1776  
Boulder, CO 80306

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Renate Mairie**

Po Box 1776 , Boulder, CO 80306

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Representative Mark Udall  
U.S. House of Representatives  
240 Cannon House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Renate Mairie  
Po Box 1776  
Boulder, CO 80306

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matt Lush**

6828 Ledyard Dr. , Dallas, TX 75248

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Representative Pete Sessions  
U.S. House of Representatives  
1514 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt Lush  
6828 Ledyard Dr.  
Dallas, TX 75248

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matt Lush**

6828 Ledyard Dr. , Dallas, TX 75248

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Senator John Cornyn  
U.S. Senate  
517 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt Lush  
6828 Ledyard Dr.  
Dallas, TX 75248

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Renate Mairie**

Po Box 1776 , Boulder, CO 80306

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Senator Ken Salazar  
U.S. Senate  
702 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Renate Mairie  
Po Box 1776  
Boulder, CO 80306

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission

**Matt Lush**

6828 Ledyard Dr. , Dallas, TX 75248

December 11, 2006 11:21 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison  
U.S. Senate  
284 Russell Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,  
Matt Lush  
6828 Ledyard Dr.  
Dallas, TX 75248

cc:  
Federal Communications Commission